



UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 28, 2009

or

Transition report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 0-20852

**ULTRALIFE CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

16-1387013

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2000 Technology Parkway, Newark, New York 14513

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(315) 332-7100

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock, \$.10 par value — 16,978,369 shares of common stock outstanding, net of 1,358,507 treasury shares, as of August 2, 2009.

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION  
INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets — June 28, 2009 and December 31, 2008</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations — Three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows — Three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	30
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	47
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	47
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	48
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	48
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	50
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	51
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	51
<u>Signatures</u>	52
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	53
<u>EX-10.4</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32</u>	

[Table of Contents](#)

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
(unaudited)

	June 28, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current assets:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,878
Trade accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,216 at June 28, 2009 and \$1,086 at December 31, 2008)	30,587	30,588
Inventories	51,223	40,465
Deferred tax asset — current	441	441
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,539	1,801
Total current assets	<u>84,965</u>	<u>75,173</u>
<b>Property, plant and equipment, net</b>	<u>18,250</u>	<u>18,465</u>
<b>Other assets:</b>		
Goodwill	25,361	22,943
Intangible assets, net	14,000	12,925
Security deposits and other long-term assets	87	81
	<u>39,448</u>	<u>35,949</u>
<b>Total Assets</b>	<u>\$ 142,663</u>	<u>\$ 129,587</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current liabilities:</b>		
Current portion of debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 24,514	\$ 1,425
Accounts payable	20,449	20,255
Income taxes payable	15	582
Other current liabilities	10,775	9,974
Total current liabilities	<u>55,753</u>	<u>32,236</u>
<b>Long-term liabilities:</b>		
Debt and capital lease obligations	4,562	4,670
Deferred tax liability — long-term	4,078	3,894
Other long-term liabilities	654	634
Total long-term liabilities	<u>9,294</u>	<u>9,198</u>
<b>Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)</b>		
<b>Shareholders' equity:</b>		
Ultralife equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.10 per share, authorized 1,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, authorized 40,000,000 shares; issued - 18,336,876 at June 28, 2009 and 18,227,009 at December 31, 2008	1,826	1,815
Capital in excess of par value	168,635	167,259
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,057)	(1,930)
Accumulated deficit	<u>(84,256)</u>	<u>(74,780)</u>
	85,148	92,364
Less — Treasury stock, at cost — 1,358,507 and 942,202 shares outstanding, respectively	<u>7,558</u>	<u>4,232</u>
Total Ultralife equity	<u>77,590</u>	<u>88,132</u>
Noncontrolling interest	26	21
Total shareholders' equity	<u>77,616</u>	<u>88,153</u>
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<u>\$ 142,663</u>	<u>\$ 129,587</u>

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.



ULTRALIFE CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
(unaudited)

	Three-Month Periods Ended June 28, 2009	Three-Month Periods Ended June 28, 2008	Six-Month Periods Ended June 28, 2009	Six-Month Periods Ended June 28, 2008
<b>Revenues</b>	\$ 39,593	\$ 87,898	\$ 79,396	\$ 137,485
<b>Cost of products sold</b>	<u>32,813</u>	<u>67,270</u>	<u>64,835</u>	<u>105,982</u>
<b>Gross margin</b>	6,780	20,628	14,561	31,503
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
Research and development (including \$148, \$158, \$258 and \$317 respectively, of amortization of intangible assets)	2,514	2,137	4,494	3,746
Selling, general, and administrative (including \$318, \$368, \$549 and \$729 respectively, of amortization of intangible assets)	<u>10,591</u>	<u>8,554</u>	<u>18,649</u>	<u>15,457</u>
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<u>13,105</u>	<u>10,691</u>	<u>23,143</u>	<u>19,203</u>
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>	(6,325)	9,937	(8,582)	12,300
<b>Other income (expense):</b>				
Interest income	1	2	4	13
Interest expense	(350)	(240)	(532)	(569)
Gain on insurance settlement	—	—	—	39
Gain on debt conversion	—	—	—	313
Miscellaneous	<u>(209)</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>(198)</u>	<u>109</u>
<b>Income (loss) before income taxes</b>	<u>(6,883)</u>	<u>9,739</u>	<u>(9,308)</u>	<u>12,205</u>
Income tax provision-current	—	264	2	318
Income tax provision-deferred	95	3,095	184	3,086
Total income taxes	<u>95</u>	<u>3,359</u>	<u>186</u>	<u>3,404</u>
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<u>\$ (6,978)</u>	<u>\$ 6,380</u>	<u>\$ (9,494)</u>	<u>\$ 8,801</u>
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	14	15	18	28
<b>Net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife</b>	<u>\$ (6,964)</u>	<u>\$ 6,395</u>	<u>\$ (9,476)</u>	<u>\$ 8,829</u>
<b>Net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife common shareholders — basic</b>	<u>\$ (0.41)</u>	<u>\$ 0.37</u>	<u>\$ (0.56)</u>	<u>\$ 0.51</u>
<b>Net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife common shareholders — diluted</b>	<u>\$ (0.41)</u>	<u>\$ 0.36</u>	<u>\$ (0.56)</u>	<u>\$ 0.50</u>
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding — basic</b>	<u>16,894</u>	<u>17,309</u>	<u>17,024</u>	<u>17,155</u>
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding — diluted</b>	<u>16,894</u>	<u>17,708</u>	<u>17,024</u>	<u>17,770</u>

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Dollars in Thousands)  
(unaudited)

	Six-Month Periods Ended June 28, 2009	June 28, 2008
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ (9,494)	\$ 8,801
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of financing fees	1,939	1,931
Amortization of intangible assets	807	1,046
Gain on asset disposal	—	(3)
Gain on insurance settlement	—	(39)
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	191	(64)
Gain on debt conversion	—	(313)
Impairment of long-lived assets	—	138
Non-cash stock-based compensation	1,077	1,196
Changes in deferred income taxes	184	3,086
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	420	(26,340)
Inventories	(8,094)	(10,918)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	225	2,693
Insurance receivable relating to fires	—	201
Income taxes payable	(567)	318
Accounts payable and other liabilities	339	22,074
Net cash provided from (used in) operating activities	<u>(12,973)</u>	<u>3,807</u>
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,253)	(1,616)
Payments for acquired companies, net of cash acquired	(6,763)	11
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(8,016)</u>	<u>(1,605)</u>
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net change in revolving credit facilities	23,900	(4,704)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	310	2,163
Proceeds from issuance of debt	751	—
Principal payments on debt and capital lease obligations	(1,192)	(1,113)
Purchase of treasury stock	(3,326)	—
Net cash provided from (used in) financing activities	<u>20,443</u>	<u>(3,654)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	<u>(157)</u>	<u>34</u>
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(703)	(1,418)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,878	2,245
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 1,175</u>	<u>\$ 827</u>
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>		
Cash paid for income taxes	<u>\$ 605</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Cash paid for interest	<u>\$ 449</u>	<u>\$ 641</u>
Noncash investing and financing activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment via notes payable	<u>\$ 102</u>	<u>\$ 66</u>
Conversion of convertible notes into shares of common stock	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 10,500</u>

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Dollar Amounts in Thousands — Except Share and Per Share Amounts)  
(unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Ultralife Corporation and our subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals and adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been included. Results for interim periods should not be considered indicative of results to be expected for a full year. Reference should be made to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Form 10-K for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2008.

The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Certain items previously reported in specific financial statement captions have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Our monthly closing schedule is a weekly-based cycle as opposed to a calendar month-based cycle. While the actual dates for the quarter-ends will change slightly each year, we believe that there are not any material differences when making quarterly comparisons.

We have evaluated subsequent events through August 10, 2009, which is the date these financial statements were issued.

2. ACQUISITIONS AND JOINT VENTURES

**2009 Activity**

We accounted for the following acquisitions in accordance with the purchase method of accounting provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 141(revised 2007), “Business Combinations,” whereby the purchase price paid to effect an acquisition is allocated to the acquired tangible and intangible assets and liabilities at fair value.

**AMTI Brand**

On March 20, 2009, we acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the liabilities of the tactical communications products business of Science Applications International Corporation. The tactical communications products business (“AMTI”), located in Virginia Beach, Virginia, designs, develops and manufactures tactical communications products including amplifiers, man-portable systems, cables, power solutions and ancillary communications equipment that will be sold by Ultralife Corporation under the brand name of AMTI.

Under the terms of the asset purchase agreement for AMTI, the purchase price consisted of \$5,717 in cash.



## Table of Contents

The results of operations of AMTI and the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed are included in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on the acquisition date. Pro forma information has not been presented, as it would not be materially different from amounts reported. The estimated excess of the purchase price over the net tangible and intangible assets acquired of \$4,456 was recorded as goodwill in the amount of \$1,261. We are in the process of completing the valuations of certain tangible and intangible assets acquired with the new business. The final allocation of the excess of the purchase price over the net assets acquired is subject to revision based upon our final review of valuation assumptions. The acquired goodwill will be assigned to the Communications Systems segment and is expected to be fully deductible for income tax purposes.

As a result of revisions to the preliminary asset valuations during the second quarter of 2009, values assigned to the intangible assets have been revised. The adjustments to the values for intangible assets from those reported for the first quarter of 2009 were as follows: trademarks decreased by \$30, patents and technology increased by \$287, and customer relationships increased by \$601. These adjustments resulted in a decrease to goodwill of \$858.

The following table represents the preliminary allocation of the purchase price to assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date:

<b>ASSETS</b>	
Current assets:	
Cash	\$ —
Trade accounts receivable, net	696
Inventories	2,350
Total current assets	3,046
Property, plant and equipment, net	206
Goodwill	1,261
Intangible Assets:	
Trademarks	450
Patents and Technology	800
Customer Relationships	920
Total assets acquired	<u>6,683</u>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	801
Other current liabilities	165
Total current liabilities	966
Long-term liabilities:	
Other long-term liabilities	—
Total liabilities assumed	<u>966</u>
Total Purchase Price	<u>\$ 5,717</u>

Trademarks have an indefinite life and are not being amortized. The intangible assets related to patents and technology and customer relationships are being amortized as the economic benefits of the intangible assets are being utilized over their weighted-average estimated useful life of thirteen years.

## 2008 Activity

We accounted for the following acquisitions, including the establishment of a joint venture, in accordance with the purchase method of accounting provisions of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations," whereby the purchase price paid to effect an acquisition is allocated to the acquired tangible and intangible assets and liabilities at fair value.

### **Ultralife Batteries India Private Limited**

In March 2008, we formed a joint venture, named Ultralife Batteries India Private Limited ("India JV"), with our distributor partner in India. The India JV assembles Ultralife power solution products and manages local sales and marketing activities, serving commercial, government and defense customers throughout India. We have invested \$86 in cash into the India JV, as consideration for our 51% ownership stake in the India JV.

### **U.S. Energy Systems, Inc. and U.S. Power Services, Inc.**

On November 10, 2008, we acquired certain assets of U.S. Energy Systems, Inc., and its services affiliate U.S. Power Services, Inc. ("USE" collectively), a nationally recognized standby power installation and power management services business. USE is located in Riverside, California. The acquired assets of USE have been incorporated into our Stationary Power Services, Inc. subsidiary.

Under the terms of the asset purchase agreements for USE, the initial purchase price consisted of \$2,865 in cash. In addition, on the achievement of certain annual post-acquisition financial milestones during the period ending December 31, 2012, we will issue up to an aggregate of 200,000 unregistered shares of our common stock. The unregistered shares of common stock will be issued after the first occasion annual sales for a calendar year exceed \$10,000 (30,000 shares), \$15,000 (40,000 shares), \$20,000 (60,000 shares), and \$25,000 (70,000 shares). The contingent stock issuances will be recorded as an addition to the purchase price when the financial milestones are attained. We incurred \$62 in acquisition related costs, which are included in the initial cost of the USE investment of \$2,927.

The results of operations of USE and the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed are included in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on the acquisition date. Pro forma information has not been presented, as it would not be materially different from amounts reported. The estimated excess of the purchase price over the net tangible and intangible assets acquired of \$1,559 was recorded as goodwill in the amount of \$1,368. We are in the process of completing the valuations of certain tangible and intangible assets acquired with the new business. The final allocation of the excess of the purchase price over the net assets acquired is subject to revision based upon our final review of valuation assumptions. The acquired goodwill has been assigned to the Design and Installation Services segment and is expected to be fully deductible for income tax purposes.

## Table of Contents

The following table represents the revised preliminary allocation of the purchase price to assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date:

ASSETS	
Current assets:	
Cash	\$ —
Total current assets	—
Property, plant and equipment, net	306
Goodwill	1,368
Intangible Assets:	
Patents and Technology	260
Customer Relationships	1,320
Total assets acquired	<u>3,254</u>
LIABILITIES	
Current liabilities:	
Current portion of long-term debt	56
Other current liabilities	43
Total current liabilities	99
Long-term liabilities:	
Debt	228
Total liabilities assumed	<u>327</u>
Total Purchase Price	<u>\$ 2,927</u>

The intangible assets related to patents and technology and customer relationships are being amortized as the economic benefits of the intangible assets are being utilized over their weighted-average estimated useful life of fifteen years.

### 3. INVENTORIES

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost determined under the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. The composition of inventories was:

	June 28, 2009	December 31, 2008
Raw materials	\$ 33,751	\$ 29,352
Work in process	10,721	9,087
Finished goods	10,092	4,876
	54,564	43,315
Less: Reserve for obsolescence	3,341	2,850
	<u>\$ 51,223</u>	<u>\$ 40,465</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

## 4. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Major classes of property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

	June 28, 2009	December 31, 2008
Land	\$ 123	\$ 123
Buildings and leasehold improvements	6,052	5,274
Machinery and equipment	44,058	42,172
Furniture and fixtures	1,808	1,669
Computer hardware and software	2,980	2,808
Construction in progress	1,489	2,023
	56,510	54,069
Less: Accumulated depreciation	38,260	35,604
	<u>\$ 18,250</u>	<u>\$ 18,465</u>

Depreciation expense for property, plant and equipment was \$973 and \$1,894 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2009, respectively, and \$903 and \$1,879 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2008, respectively.

## 5. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

## a. Goodwill

The following table summarizes the goodwill activity by segment for the six-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008:

	Non-Rechargeable Products	Rechargeable Products	Communications Systems	Design and Installation Services	Total
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$1,703	\$4,287	\$10,460	\$4,730	\$21,180
Adjustments to purchase price allocation	—	20	—	(63)	(43)
Effect of foreign currency translations	109	—	—	—	109
Balance at June 28, 2008	1,812	4,307	10,460	4,667	21,246
Adjustments to purchase price allocation	250	29	—	297	576
Acquisition of US Energy	—	—	—	1,111	1,111
Effect of foreign currency translations	10	—	—	—	10
Balance at December 31, 2008	2,072	4,336	10,460	6,075	22,943
Adjustments to purchase price allocation	—	65	—	1,095	1,160
Acquisition of AMTI	—	—	1,261	—	1,261
Effect of foreign currency translations	(3)	—	—	—	(3)
Balance at June 28, 2009	<u>\$2,069</u>	<u>\$4,401</u>	<u>\$11,721</u>	<u>\$7,170</u>	<u>\$25,361</u>

On February 9, 2009, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the RedBlack Communications, Inc. stock purchase agreement, which eliminated the up to \$2,000 in additional cash consideration contingent on the achievement of certain sales milestones provision, in exchange for a one time final payment of \$1,020. The one time final payment of \$1,020 was made in February 2009, and resulted in an increase to goodwill of \$838 (net of the \$182 amount that was accrued during the third quarter of 2008) in the Design and Installation Services segment, in the first quarter of 2009, and a revised total cost of the investment of \$2,104.

## Table of Contents

Through June 28, 2009, we have accrued \$65 for the 2009 portion of the contingent cash consideration in connection with the purchase price for RPS Powers Systems, Inc., which is included in the other current liabilities line on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. This accrual resulted in an increase to goodwill of \$65 in the Rechargeable Products segment.

### b. Intangible Assets

The composition of intangible assets was:

	Gross Assets	June 28, 2009 Accumulated Amortization	Net
Trademarks	\$ 4,855	\$ —	\$ 4,855
Patents and technology	5,158	2,570	2,588
Customer relationships	9,791	3,417	6,374
Distributor relationships	352	197	155
Non-compete agreements	393	365	28
Total intangible assets	\$ 20,549	\$ 6,549	\$ 14,000

	Gross Assets	December 31, 2008 Accumulated Amortization	Net
Trademarks	\$ 4,789	\$ —	\$ 4,789
Patents and technology	4,229	2,313	1,916
Customer relationships	8,906	2,934	5,972
Distributor relationships	352	180	172
Non-compete agreements	393	317	76
Total intangible assets	\$ 18,669	\$ 5,744	\$ 12,925

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$466 and \$807 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2009, respectively, and \$526 and \$1,046 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2008, respectively.

The change in the cost value of total intangible assets from December 31, 2008 to June 28, 2009 is a result of the 2009 acquisition, changes in the valuation of intangible assets in connection with the 2008 acquisition and the effect of foreign currency translations.

### 6. DEBT

Our primary credit facility consists of both a term loan component and a revolver component, and the facility is collateralized by essentially all of our assets, including those of our subsidiaries. The lenders of the credit facility are JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company (together, the "Lenders"), with JP Morgan Chase Bank acting as the administrative agent ("Agent"). The current revolver loan commitment is \$35,000. Availability under the revolving credit component is subject to meeting certain financial covenants, including a debt to earnings ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio. In addition, we are required to meet certain non-financial covenants. The rate of interest, in general, is based upon either the Prime Rate plus 200 basis points or LIBOR plus 500 basis points.

## Table of Contents

On June 30, 2004, we drew down on a \$10,000 term loan under the credit facility. The term loan is being repaid in equal monthly installments of \$167 over five years. On July 1, 2004, we entered into an interest rate swap arrangement in the notional amount of \$10,000 to be effective on August 2, 2004, related to the \$10,000 term loan, in order to take advantage of historically low interest rates. We received a fixed rate of interest in exchange for a variable rate. The swap rate received was 3.98% for five years. The total rate of interest paid by us is equal to the swap rate of 3.98% plus the applicable Eurodollar spread associated with the term loan. During the full year of 2008, the adjusted rate ranged from 5.73% to 6.48%. During the first six months of 2009, the adjusted rate was 6.48%. Derivative instruments are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", which requires that all derivative instruments be recognized in the financial statements at fair value. The fair value of this arrangement at June 28, 2009 resulted in a liability of \$1, all of which was reflected as a short-term liability.

In July 2009, we paid the final monthly installment for the term loan under the credit facility and have no further obligations relating to the term loan portion of the credit facility. Correspondingly, the interest rate swap arrangement we entered into in connection with the term loan under the credit facility has expired and we have no further obligations under the interest rate swap arrangement.

There have been several amendments to the credit facility during the past few years, including amendments to authorize acquisitions and modify financial covenants. Effective April 23, 2008, we entered into Amendment Number Ten to Credit Agreement ("Amendment Ten") with the Lenders. Amendment Ten increased the amount of the revolving credit facility from \$15,000 to \$22,500, an increase of \$7,500. Additionally, Amendment Ten amended the applicable revolver and term rates under the Credit Agreement from a variable pricing grid based on quarterly financial ratios to a set interest rate structure based on either the current prime rate, or a LIBOR rate plus 250 basis points.

Effective January 27, 2009, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Restated Credit Agreement") with the Lenders. The Restated Credit Agreement reflects the previous ten amendments to the original Credit Agreement dated June 30, 2004 between us and the Lenders and modifies certain of those provisions. The Restated Credit Agreement among other things (i) increased the revolver loan commitment from \$22,500 to \$35,000, (ii) extended the maturity date of the revolving credit component from January 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010, (iii) modified the interest rate, and (iv) modified certain covenants. The rate of interest is based, in general, upon either a LIBOR rate plus a Eurodollar spread or an Alternate Base Rate plus an ABR spread, as that term is defined in the Restated Credit Agreement, within a predetermined grid, which is dependent upon whether Earnings Before Interest and Taxes for the most recently completed fiscal quarter is greater than or less than zero. Generally, borrowings under the Restated Credit Agreement bear interest based primarily on the Prime Rate plus 50 to 200 basis points or LIBOR plus 300 to 500 basis points. Additionally, among other covenant modifications, the Restated Credit Agreement modified the financial covenants by (i) revising the debt to earnings ratio and fixed charge coverage ratio and (ii) deleting the current assets to liabilities ratio. As amended, we are to maintain a debt to earnings ratio at or below 2.75 to 1, and a fixed charge ratio at or above 1.25 to 1. As of June 28, 2009, our debt to earnings ratio was 6.05 to 1 and our fixed charge ratio was 0.35 to 1. Therefore, we were not in compliance with the financial covenants identified above, as amended.

Effective June 28, 2009, we entered into Waiver and Amendment Number One to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Waiver and Amendment") with the Lenders and Agent. The Waiver and Amendment provided that the Lenders and Agent would waive their right to exercise their respective rights and remedies under the credit facility arising from our failure to comply with the financial covenants in the credit facility with respect to the fiscal quarter ended June 28, 2009. In addition to a number of revisions to non-financial covenants, the Waiver and Amendment revised the applicable revolver rate under the Restated Credit Agreement to an interest rate structure based on the Prime Rate plus 200 basis points or LIBOR plus 500 basis points.

## Table of Contents

As of June 28, 2009, we had \$167 outstanding under the term loan component of our credit facility with our primary lending bank and \$23,900 was outstanding under the revolver component. At June 28, 2009, the interest rate on the revolver component was 5.25%. As of June 28, 2009, the revolver arrangement provided for up to \$35,000 of borrowing capacity, including outstanding letters of credit. At June 28, 2009, we had \$319 of outstanding letters of credit related to this facility, leaving \$10,781 of additional borrowing capacity.

On November 16, 2007, we finalized a settlement agreement with the sellers of McDowell Research, Ltd. relating to various operational issues that arose during the first several months following the July 2006 acquisition that significantly reduced our profit margins. The settlement agreement amount was approximately \$7,900. The settlement agreement reduced the principal amount on the convertible notes initially issued in that transaction from \$20,000 to \$14,000, and eliminated a \$1,889 liability related to a purchase price adjustment. In addition, the interest rate on the convertible notes was increased from 4% to 5% and we made prepayments totaling \$3,500 on the convertible notes. Upon payment of the \$3,500 in November 2007, we reported a one-time, non-operating gain of approximately \$7,550 to account for the settlement, net of certain adjustments related to the change in the interest rate on the convertible notes. Based on the facts and circumstances surrounding the settlement agreement, there was not a clear and direct link to the acquisition's purchase price; therefore, we recorded the settlement as an adjustment to income in accordance with SFAS No. 141. In January 2008, the remaining \$10,500 principal balance on the convertible notes was converted in full into 700,000 shares of our common stock, and the remaining \$313 that pertained to the change in the interest rate on the notes was recorded in other income as a gain on debt conversion.

While we believe relations with our Lenders are good and we have received waivers as necessary in the past, there can be no assurance that such waivers will always be obtained when needed. If we are unable to obtain a waiver from our Lenders, we may need to implement alternative plans to provide us with sufficient levels of liquidity and working capital. There can be no assurance that such alternatives would be available on acceptable terms and conditions or that we would be successful in our implementation of such plans.

Our ability to refinance our current credit facility, if necessary, or to secure additional capital resources to fund our operational and growth strategies will depend, in large part, on our ability to access the credit markets. The recent disruption in credit markets and our recent operating losses make it uncertain whether we will be able to access the credit markets when necessary or desirable. If we are not able to access credit markets and obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms when needed, our business could be materially harmed and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

## 7. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

### a. Common Stock

In February 2009, we issued 4,388 shares of common stock to our non-employee directors, valued at \$37.

In May 2009, we issued 10,725 shares of common stock to our non-employee directors, valued at \$76.

b. Treasury Stock

At June 28, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had 1,358,507 and 942,202 shares, respectively, of treasury stock outstanding, valued at \$7,558 and \$4,232, respectively. The increase in treasury shares related to shares that were repurchased under our share repurchase program.

In October 2008, the Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$10,000 to be implemented over the course of a six-month period. Repurchases were made from time to time at management's discretion, either in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. The repurchases were made in compliance with Securities and Exchange Commission guidelines and were subject to market conditions, applicable legal requirements, and other factors. We had no obligation under the program to repurchase shares and the program could have been suspended or discontinued at any time without prior notice. We funded the purchase price for shares acquired primarily with current cash on hand and cash generated from operations, in addition to borrowing from our credit facility, as necessary. We spent \$5,141 to repurchase 628,413 shares of common stock, at an average price of approximately \$8.15 per share, under this share repurchase program. During the first quarter of 2009, we repurchased 416,305 shares of common stock at an average price of approximately \$7.99 per share, under this share repurchase program; all other share repurchases were made in the fourth quarter of 2008. In April 2009, this share repurchase program expired.

c. Stock Options

We have various stock-based employee compensation plans, for which we follow the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"), which requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the employee's requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity award).

Our shareholders have approved various equity-based plans that permit the grant of options, restricted stock and other equity-based awards. In addition, our shareholders on occasion have approved the grant of options outside of these plans.

In December 2000, our shareholders approved a 2000 stock option plan for grants to key employees, directors and consultants. The shareholders approved reservation of 500,000 shares of common stock for grant under the plan. In December 2002, the shareholders approved an amendment to the plan increasing the number of shares of common stock reserved by 500,000, to a total of 1,000,000 shares.

In June 2004, our shareholders adopted the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") pursuant to which we were authorized to issue up to 750,000 shares of common stock and grant stock options, restricted stock awards, stock appreciation rights and other stock-based awards. In June 2006, the shareholders approved an amendment to the LTIP, increasing the number of shares of common stock by an additional 750,000, bringing the total shares authorized under the LTIP to 1,500,000. In June 2008, the shareholders approved another amendment to the LTIP, increasing the number of shares of common stock by an additional 500,000, bringing the total shares authorized under the LTIP to 2,000,000 shares.

Stock options granted under the amended 2000 stock option plan and the LTIP are either Incentive Stock Options ("ISOs") or Non-Qualified Stock Options ("NQSOs"). Key employees are eligible to receive ISOs and NQSOs; however, directors and consultants are eligible to receive only NQSOs. Most ISOs vest over a three- or five-year period and expire on the sixth or seventh anniversary of the grant date. All NQSOs issued to non-employee directors vest immediately and expire on either the sixth or seventh anniversary of the grant date. Some NQSOs issued to non-



## [Table of Contents](#)

employees vest immediately and expire within three years; others have the same vesting characteristics as options given to employees. As of June 28, 2009, there were 1,647,040 stock options outstanding under the amended 2000 stock option plan and the LTIP.

On December 19, 2005, we granted our President and Chief Executive Officer, John D. Kavazanjian, an option to purchase shares of common stock at \$12.96 per share outside of any of our equity-based compensation plans, subject to shareholder approval. Shareholder approval was obtained on June 8, 2006. The option to purchase 48,000 shares of common stock is fully vested. The option expires on June 8, 2013.

On March 7, 2008, in connection with his becoming employed with us, we granted our Vice-President of Business Development, Philip A. Fain, an option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at \$12.74 per share outside of any of our equity-based compensation plans. The option is exercisable in annual increments of 16,667 shares over a three-year period which commenced March 7, 2009. The option expires on March 7, 2015.

On June 9, 2009, in connection with his becoming employed with us, we granted our current Vice-President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer, John C. Casper, an option to purchase 30,000 shares of common stock at \$7.1845 per share outside of any of our equity-based compensation plans. The option is exercisable in annual increments of 10,000 shares over a three-year period commencing June 9, 2010. The option expires on June 9, 2016.

In conjunction with SFAS 123R, we recorded compensation cost related to stock options of \$332 and \$744 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2009, respectively, and \$586 and \$912 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2008, respectively. As of June 28, 2009, there was \$1,192 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to outstanding stock options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.28 years.

We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock-based awards. The following weighted average assumptions were used to value options granted during the six-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008:

	Six-Month Periods Ended	
	June 28, 2009	June 28, 2008
Risk-free interest rate	1.44%	2.33%
Volatility factor	68.40%	59.46%
Dividends	0.00%	0.00%
Weighted average expected life (years)	3.57	3.55

We calculate expected volatility for stock options by taking an average of historical volatility over the past five years and a computation of implied volatility. The computation of expected term was determined based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards and vesting schedules. The interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant.

## Table of Contents

Stock option activity for the first six months of 2009 is summarized as:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Shares under option at January 1, 2009	1,651,007	\$12.33		
Options granted	242,820	8.42		
Options exercised	(89,355)	4.87		
Options forfeited	(6,681)	11.81		
Options expired	(22,751)	17.07		
Shares under option at June 28, 2009	1,775,040	\$12.11	4.07 years	\$64
Vested and expected to vest as of June 28, 2009	1,678,403	\$12.19	4.01 years	\$64
Options exercisable at June 28, 2009	1,162,523	\$12.95	3.31 years	\$64

The total intrinsic value of options (which is the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise price of the options on the date of exercise) exercised during the six-month period ended June 28, 2009 was \$405.

SFAS 123R requires cash flows from excess tax benefits to be classified as a part of cash flows from financing activities. Excess tax benefits are realized tax benefits from tax deductions for exercised options in excess of the deferred tax asset attributable to stock compensation costs for such options. We did not record any excess tax benefits in the first six months of 2009 and 2008. Cash received from option exercises under our stock-based compensation plans for the six-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008 was \$187 and \$1,154, respectively.

#### d. Warrants

On May 19, 2006, in connection with our acquisition of ABLE New Energy Co., Ltd., we granted warrants to acquire 100,000 shares of common stock. The exercise price of the warrants is \$12.30 per share and the warrants have a five-year term. In January 2008, 82,000 warrants were exercised, for total proceeds received of \$1,009. In January 2009, 10,000 warrants were exercised, for total proceeds received of \$123. At June 28, 2009, there were 8,000 warrants outstanding.

#### e. Restricted Stock Awards

There were no restricted stock grants awarded during the six-month period ended June 28, 2008.

Restricted stock grants awarded during the six-month period ended June 28, 2009 had the following values:

	Six-Month Period Ended June 28, 2009
Number of shares awarded	24,786
Weighted average fair value per share	\$ 10.58
Aggregate total value	\$ 246

## [Table of Contents](#)

The activity of restricted stock awards of common stock for the first six months of 2009 is summarized as follows:

	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Unvested at December 31, 2008	69,864	\$ 11.36
Granted	24,786	7.44
Vested	(23,000)	11.51
Forfeited	—	—
Unvested at June 28, 2009	<u>71,650</u>	<u>\$ 9.96</u>

We recorded compensation cost related to restricted stock grants of \$133 and \$220 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2009, respectively, and \$123 and \$284 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2008, respectively. As of June 28, 2009, we had \$365 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock grants, which is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average period of approximately 1.28 years. The total fair value of these grants that vested during the six-month period ended June 28, 2009 was \$161.

## 8. INCOME TAXES

The asset and liability method, prescribed by SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes", is used in accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse.

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2009, we recorded \$95 and \$186, respectively, in income tax expense primarily due to the deferred tax provision for the establishment of additional deferred tax liabilities for temporary items that may not reverse in the carryforward period. For the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2008, we recorded \$3,359 and \$3,404 in income tax expense. The second quarter of 2008 tax provision included an approximate \$3,100 non-cash charge to record a deferred tax liability for liabilities generated from book/tax differences pertaining to goodwill and certain intangible assets that cannot be predicted to reverse during our loss carryforward periods. Substantially all of this adjustment related to book/tax differences that occurred during 2007 and were identified during the second quarter of 2008. In connection with this adjustment, we reviewed the illustrative list of qualitative considerations provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99 and other qualitative factors in our determination that this adjustment was not material to the 2007 consolidated financial statements or this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The remaining expense was primarily due to the income reported for U.S. operations during the period.

The effective tax rate for the total consolidated company was (1.4%) and (2.0%) for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2009, respectively, and was 34.4% and 27.8% for the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2008, respectively. The overall effective rate is the result of the combination of income and losses in each of our tax jurisdictions, which is particularly influenced by the fact that we have not recognized a deferred tax asset pertaining to cumulative historical losses for our U.S. operations and our U.K. subsidiary, as management does not believe, at this time, it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of these losses. We have substantial net operating loss carryforwards which offset taxable income in the United States. However, we remain subject to the alternative minimum tax in the United States. The alternative minimum tax limits the amount of net operating loss available to offset taxable income to 90% of the current year income. This limitation did have an impact on income taxes determined for 2008 and may have an impact on income taxes to be determined for 2009. As a result, we did incur the alternative minimum tax in 2008 and expect to

incur the alternative minimum tax in 2009. The tax provision includes a provision for the U.S. alternative minimum tax as well as state income taxes, for states which we do not have the ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards. Normally, the payment of the alternative minimum tax results in the establishment of a deferred tax asset. However, we have established a valuation allowance for our net U.S. deferred tax asset. Therefore, the expected payment of the alternative minimum tax does not result in a net deferred tax asset.

As of December 31, 2008, we have foreign and domestic net operating loss carryforwards totaling approximately \$58,403 available to reduce future taxable income. Foreign loss carryforwards of approximately \$8,963 can be carried forward indefinitely. The domestic net operating loss carryforwards of \$49,440 expire from 2018 through 2027. The domestic net operating loss carryforwards include approximately \$2,687 of the net operating loss carryforwards for which a benefit will be recorded in capital in excess of par value when realized.

During the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2006, we recorded a full valuation allowance on our net deferred tax asset, due to the determination, at that time, that it was more likely than not that we would not be able to utilize our U.S. and U.K. net operating loss carryforwards ("NOL's") that had accumulated over time. At June 28, 2009, we continue to recognize a valuation allowance on our U.S. deferred tax asset, to the extent that we believe, that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize that portion of our U.S. NOL's that had accumulated over time. A U.S. valuation allowance is not required for the portion of the deferred tax asset that will be realized by the reversal of temporary differences related to deferred tax liabilities to the extent those temporary differences are expected to reverse in our carryforward period. At June 28, 2009, we continue to recognize a full valuation allowance on our U.K. net deferred tax asset, as we believe, at this time, that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize our U.K. NOL's that had accumulated over time. We continually monitor the assumptions and performance results to assess the realizability of the tax benefits of the U.S. and U.K. net operating losses and other deferred tax assets.

We have adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"). We have recorded no liability for income taxes associated with unrecognized tax benefits during 2008 and 2009, and as such, have not recorded any interest or penalty in regard to any unrecognized benefit. Our policy regarding interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters is to recognize such items as a component of income tax expense (benefit). It is possible that a liability associated with our unrecognized tax benefits will increase or decrease within the next twelve months.

We file a consolidated income tax return in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and consolidated and separate income tax returns in many state and foreign jurisdictions. Our U.S. tax matters for the years 2005 through 2008 remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). Our U.S. tax matters for the years 2004 through 2008 remain subject to examination by various state and local tax jurisdictions. Our tax matters for the years 2004 through 2008 remain subject to examination by the respective foreign tax jurisdiction authorities.

We have determined that a change in ownership, as defined under Internal Revenue Code Section 382, occurred during 2005 and 2006. As such, the domestic NOL carryforward will be subject to an annual limitation estimated to be in the range of approximately \$12,000 and \$14,500. The unused portion of the annual limitation can be carried forward to subsequent periods. We believe such limitation will not impact our ability to realize the deferred tax asset. In addition, certain of our NOL carryforwards are subject to U.S. alternative minimum tax such that carryforwards can offset only 90% of alternative minimum taxable income. This limitation did not have an impact on income taxes determined for 2009. However, this limitation did have an impact of \$318 on income taxes determined for 2008. The use of our U.K. NOL carryforwards may be limited due to the change in the U.K. operation during 2008 from a manufacturing and assembly center to primarily a distribution and service center.

9. EARNINGS PER SHARE

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, “Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities” (“FSP No. EITF 03-6-1”). FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 requires that all outstanding unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (such as restricted stock awards granted by us) be considered participating securities. Because the restricted stock awards are participating securities, we are required to apply the two-class method of computing basic and diluted earnings per share (the “Two-Class Method”). The retrospective application of the provisions of FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 did not change the prior period earnings per share (“EPS”) amounts.

Basic EPS is determined using the Two-Class Method and is computed by dividing earnings attributable to Ultralife common shareholders by the weighted-average shares outstanding during the period. The Two-Class Method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Diluted EPS includes the dilutive effect of securities, if any, and reflects the more dilutive EPS amount calculated using the treasury stock method or the Two-Class Method. For the three- and six-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008, both the Two-Class Method and the treasury stock method calculations for diluted EPS yielded the same result.

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share is summarized as follows:

	Three-Month Periods Ended June 28,		Six-Month Periods Ended June 28,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net Income (Loss) attributable to Ultralife	\$ (6,964)	\$ 6,395	\$ (9,476)	\$ 8,829
Net Income (Loss) attributable to participating securities (unvested restricted stock awards) (-0-, 78,000, -0-, and 87,000 shares, respectively)	—	(29)	—	(43)
Net Income (Loss) attributable to Ultralife common shareholders (a)	(6,964)	6,366	(9,476)	8,786
Effect of Dilutive Securities:				
Convertible Notes Payable	—	50	—	115
Net Income (Loss) attributable to Ultralife common shareholders — Adjusted (b)	\$ (6,964)	\$ 6,416	\$ (9,476)	\$ 8,901
Average Common Shares Outstanding — Basic (c)	16,894,000	17,309,000	17,024,000	17,155,000
Effect of Dilutive Securities:				
Stock Options / Warrants	—	132,000	—	248,000
Convertible Notes Payable	—	267,000	—	367,000
Average Common Shares Outstanding — Diluted (d)	16,894,000	17,708,000	17,024,000	17,770,000
EPS — Basic (a/c)	\$ (0.41)	\$ 0.37	\$ (0.56)	\$ 0.51
EPS — Diluted (b/d)	\$ (0.41)	\$ 0.36	\$ (0.56)	\$ 0.50

## [Table of Contents](#)

There were 1,839,190 and 1,423,450 outstanding stock options, warrants and restricted stock awards for the three-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008, respectively, that were not included in EPS as the effect would be anti-dilutive. We also had 227,995 shares of common stock for the three-month period ended June 28, 2009 reserved under convertible notes payable, which were not included in EPS as the effect would be anti-dilutive. The dilutive effect of -0- and 456,557 outstanding stock options, warrants and restricted stock awards were included in the dilution computation for the three-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We also had 266,667 shares of common stock reserved under convertible notes payable, which were included in the dilution computation for the three-month period ended June 28, 2008.

There were 1,839,190 and 1,428,450 outstanding stock options, warrants and restricted stock awards for the six-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008, respectively, that were not included in EPS as the effect would be anti-dilutive. We also had 244,569 shares of common stock for the six-month period ended June 28, 2009 reserved under convertible notes payable, which were not included in EPS as the effect would be anti-dilutive. The dilutive effect of -0- and 451,557 outstanding stock options, warrants and restricted stock awards were included in the dilution computation for the six-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We also had 366,667 shares of common stock reserved under convertible notes payable, which were included in the dilution computation for the six-month period ended June 28, 2008.

### 10. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The components of our total comprehensive income (loss) were:

	Three-Month Periods Ended June 28,		Six-Month Periods Ended June 28,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife	\$(6,964)	\$6,395	\$(9,476)	\$8,829
Foreign currency translation adjustments	916	16	862	181
Change in fair value of derivatives	4	13	11	(19)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$(6,044)	\$6,424	\$(8,603)	\$8,991

### 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### a. Purchase Commitments

As of June 28, 2009, we have made commitments to purchase approximately \$495 of production machinery and equipment.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### b. Product Warranties

We estimate future costs associated with expected product failure rates, material usage and service costs in the development of our warranty obligations. Warranty reserves are based on historical experience of warranty claims and generally will be estimated as a percentage of sales over the warranty period. In the event the actual results of these items differ from the estimates, an adjustment to the warranty obligation would be recorded. Changes in our product warranty liability during the first six months of 2009 were as follows:

Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 1,010
Accruals for warranties issued	75
Settlements made	(166)
Balance at June 28, 2009	<u>\$ 919</u>

### c. Contingencies and Legal Matters

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the normal course of business. We believe that the final disposition of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In October 2008, we filed a summons and complaint against one of our vendors seeking to recover at least \$3,600 in damages, plus interest resulting from the vendor's breach of contract and failure to perform by failing to timely deliver product and delivering product that failed to conform to the contractual requirements. The vendor filed an answer and counterclaim in November 2008 denying liability to us for breach of contract and asserting various counterclaims for non-payment, fraud, unjust enrichment, unfair and deceptive trade practices, breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, negligent misrepresentation, and tortious interference with contract and prospective economic advantage. In its answer and counterclaims, the vendor claims damages in excess of \$3,500 plus interest and other incidental, consequential and punitive damages. We strongly dispute the vendor's allegations and we intend to vigorously pursue our claim and defend against the vendor's counterclaims. We have \$3,500 reflected in the accounts payable line on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets relating to this matter. No additional accrual has been made or reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as of June 28, 2009.

In January 2008, we filed a summons and complaint against one of our customers seeking to recover \$162 in unpaid invoices, plus interest for product supplied to the customer under a Master Purchase Agreement ("MPA") between the parties. The customer filed an answer and counterclaim in March 2008 alleging that the product did not conform with a material requirement of the MPA. The customer claimed restitution, cost of cover, and incidental and consequential damages in an approximate amount of \$2,800. In June 2009, we received a jury verdict in our favor awarding us \$162 in damages on our claim and finding no liability on the customer's counterclaim. We received full payment from the customer on the award in June 2009, and in July 2009, the parties reached an agreement in which the customer agreed not to pursue an appeal from the jury verdict. Accordingly, no accrual has been made or reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement as of June 28, 2009.

In conjunction with our purchase/lease of our Newark, New York facility in 1998, we entered into a payment-in-lieu of tax agreement, which provided us with real estate tax concessions upon meeting certain conditions. In connection with this agreement, a consulting firm performed a Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessment, which revealed the existence of contaminated soil and ground water around one of the buildings. We retained an engineering firm, which estimated that the cost of remediation should be in the range of \$230. In February 1998, we entered into an agreement with a third party which provides that we and this third party will retain an environmental consulting firm to

conduct a supplemental Phase II investigation to verify the existence of the contaminants and further delineate the nature of the environmental concern. The third party agreed to reimburse us for fifty percent (50%) of the cost of correcting the environmental concern on the Newark property. We have fully reserved for our portion of the estimated liability. Test sampling was completed in the spring of 2001, and the engineering report was submitted to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (“NYSDEC”) for review. NYSDEC reviewed the report and, in January 2002, recommended additional testing. We responded by submitting a work plan to NYSDEC, which was approved in April 2002. We sought proposals from engineering firms to complete the remedial work contained in the work plan. A firm was selected to undertake the remediation and in December 2003 the remediation was completed, and was overseen by the NYSDEC. The report detailing the remediation project, which included the test results, was forwarded to NYSDEC and to the New York State Department of Health (“NYSDOH”). The NYSDEC, with input from the NYSDOH, requested that we perform additional sampling. A work plan for this portion of the project was written and delivered to the NYSDEC and approved. In November 2005, additional soil, sediment and surface water samples were taken from the area outlined in the work plan, as well as groundwater samples from the monitoring wells. We received the laboratory analysis and met with the NYSDEC in March 2006 to discuss the results. On June 30, 2006, the Final Investigation Report was delivered to the NYSDEC by our outside environmental consulting firm. In November 2006, the NYSDEC completed its review of the Final Investigation Report and requested additional groundwater, soil and sediment sampling. A work plan to address the additional investigation was submitted to the NYSDEC in January 2007 and was approved in April 2007. Additional investigation work was performed in May 2007. A preliminary report of results was prepared by our outside environmental consulting firm in August 2007 and a meeting with the NYSDEC and NYSDOH took place in September 2007. As a result of this meeting, NYSDEC and NYSDOH requested additional investigation work. A work plan to address this additional investigation was submitted to and approved by the NYSDEC in November 2007. Additional investigation work was performed in December 2007. Our environmental consulting firm prepared and submitted a Final Investigation Report in January 2009 to the NYSDEC for review. The NYSDEC reviewed and approved the Final Investigation Report in June 2009 and requested the development of a Remedial Action Plan. Our environmental consulting firm is developing the requested plan for review and approval by the NYSDEC. The final Remedial Action Plan selected may increase the estimated remediation costs modestly. Through June 28, 2009, total costs incurred have amounted to approximately \$241, none of which has been capitalized. At June 28, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had \$45 and \$52, respectively, reserved for this matter.

From August 2002 through August 2006, we participated in a self-insured trust to manage our workers’ compensation activity for our employees in New York State. All members of this trust have, by design, joint and several liability during the time they participate in the trust. In August 2006, we left the self-insured trust and have obtained alternative coverage for our workers’ compensation program through a third-party insurer. In the third quarter of 2006, we confirmed that the trust was in an underfunded position (i.e. the assets of the trust were insufficient to cover the actuarially projected liabilities associated with the members in the trust). In the third quarter of 2006, we recorded a liability and an associated expense of \$350 as an estimate of our potential future cost related to the trust’s underfunded status based on our estimated level of participation. On April 28, 2008, we, along with all other members of the trust, were served by the State of New York Workers’ Compensation Board (“Compensation Board”) with a Summons with Notice that was filed in Albany County Supreme Court, wherein the Compensation Board put all members of the trust on notice that it would be seeking approximately \$1,000 in previously billed and unpaid assessments and further assessments estimated to be not less than \$25,000 arising from the accumulated estimated under-funding of the trust. The Summons with Notice did not contain a complaint or a specified demand. We timely filed a Notice of Appearance in response to the Summons with Notice. On June 16, 2008, we were served with a Verified Complaint. The Verified Complaint estimates that the trust was underfunded by \$9,700 during the period of December 1, 1997 — November 30, 2003 and an additional \$19,400 for the period December 1, 2003 — August 31, 2006. The Verified Complaint



estimates our pro-rata share of the liability for the period of December 1, 1997 — November 30, 2003 is \$195. The Verified Complaint did not contain a pro-rata share liability estimate for the period of December 1, 2003-August 31, 2006. Further, the Verified Complaint states that all estimates of the underfunded status of the trust and the pro-rata share liability for the period of December 1, 1997-November 30, 2003 are subject to adjustment based on a forensic audit of the trust that is currently being conducted on behalf of the Compensation Board by a third-party audit firm. We timely filed our Verified Answer with Affirmative Defenses on July 24, 2008. While the potential of joint and several liability exists, we have paid all assessments that have been levied against us to date during our participation in the trust. In addition, our liability is limited to the extent that the trust was underfunded for the years of our participation. As of June 28, 2009, we have determined that our \$350 reserve for this potential liability continues to be reasonable. The final amount may be more or less, depending upon the ultimate settlement of claims that remain in the trust for the period of time we were a member. It may take several years before resolution of outstanding workers' compensation claims are finally settled. We will continue to review this liability periodically and make adjustments accordingly as new information is collected.

d. Post-Audits of Government Contracts

We have had certain "exigent", non-bid contracts with the U.S. government, which have been subject to an audit and final price adjustment, which have resulted in decreased margins compared with the original terms of the contracts. As of June 28, 2009, there were no outstanding exigent contracts with the government. As part of its due diligence, the government has conducted post-audits of the completed exigent contracts to ensure that information used in supporting the pricing of exigent contracts did not differ materially from actual results. In September 2005, the Defense Contracting Audit Agency ("DCAA") presented its findings related to the audits of three of the exigent contracts, suggesting a potential pricing adjustment of approximately \$1,400 related to reductions in the cost of materials that occurred prior to the final negotiation of these contracts. We have reviewed these audit reports, have submitted our response to these audits and believe, taken as a whole, the proposed audit adjustments can be offset with the consideration of other compensating cost increases that occurred prior to the final negotiation of the contracts. While we believe that potential exposure exists relating to any final negotiation of these proposed adjustments, we cannot reasonably estimate what, if any, adjustment may result when finalized. In addition, in June 2007, we received a request from the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Defense ("DoD IG") seeking certain information and documents relating to our business with the Department of Defense. We continue to cooperate with the DCAA audit and DoD IG inquiry by making available to government auditors and investigators our personnel and furnishing the requested information and documents. At this time we have no basis for assessing whether we might face any penalties or liabilities on account of the DoD IG inquiry. The aforementioned DCAA-related adjustments could reduce margins and, along with the aforementioned DoD IG inquiry, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

e. Government Grants/Loans

We have been able to obtain certain grants/loans from government agencies to assist with various funding needs. In November 2001, we received approval for a \$300 grant/loan from New York State. The grant/loan was to fund capital expansion plans that we expected would lead to job creation. In this case, we were to be reimbursed after the full completion of the particular project. This grant/loan also required us to meet and maintain certain levels of employment. During 2002, since we did not meet the initial employment threshold, it appeared unlikely at that time that we would be able to gain access to these funds. However, during 2006, our employment levels had increased to a level that exceeded the minimum threshold, and we received these funds in April 2007. This grant/loan required us to not only meet, but maintain, our employment levels for a pre-determined time period. Our employment levels met the specified levels as of December 31, 2007 and 2008. As a result of

meeting the employment levels as of December 31, 2008, we have satisfied all of the requirements for the grant/loan, and no amounts are owed on such grant/loan.

In conjunction with the City of West Point, Mississippi, we applied for a Community Development Block Grant (“CDBG”) from the State of Mississippi for infrastructure improvements to our leased facility that is owned by the City of West Point, Mississippi. The CDBG was awarded and as of June 28, 2009, approximately \$480 has been distributed under the grant. Under an agreement with the City of West Point, we have agreed to employ at least 30 full-time employees at the facility, of which 51% of the jobs must be filled or made available to low or moderate income families, within three years of completion of the CDBG improvement activities. In addition, we have agreed to invest at least \$1,000 in equipment and working capital into the facility within the first three years of operation of the facility. In the event we fail to honor these commitments, we are obligated to reimburse all amounts received under the CDBG to the City of West Point, Mississippi.

In conjunction with Clay County, Mississippi, we applied for a Mississippi Rural Impact Fund Grant (“RIFG”) from the State of Mississippi for infrastructure improvements to our leased facility that is owned by the City of West Point, Mississippi. The RIFG was awarded and as of June 28, 2009, approximately \$150 has been distributed under the grant. Under an agreement with Clay County, we have agreed to employ at least 30 full-time employees at the facility, of which 51% of the jobs must be filled or made available to low or moderate income families, within two years of completion of the RIFG improvement activities. In addition, we have agreed to invest at least \$1,000 in equipment and working capital into the facility within the first three years of operation of the facility. In the event we fail to honor these commitments, we are obligated to reimburse all amounts received under the RIFG to Clay County, Mississippi.

## 12. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

We report our results in four operating segments: Non-Rechargeable Products, Rechargeable Products, Communications Systems and Design and Installation Services. The Non-Rechargeable Products segment includes: lithium 9-volt, cylindrical and various other non-rechargeable batteries. The Rechargeable Products segment includes: rechargeable batteries, charging systems, uninterruptable power supplies and accessories, such as cables. The Communications Systems segment includes: power supplies, cable and connector assemblies, RF amplifiers, amplified speakers, equipment mounts, case equipment and integrated communication system kits. The Design and Installation Services segment includes: standby power and communications and electronics systems design, installation and maintenance activities and revenues and related costs associated with various development contracts. We look at our segment performance at the gross margin level, and we do not allocate research and development or selling, general and administrative costs against the segments. All other items that do not specifically relate to these four segments and are not considered in the performance of the segments are considered to be Corporate charges.

[Table of Contents](#)**Three-Month Period Ended June 28, 2009**

	Non-Rechargeable Products	Rechargeable Products	Communications Systems	Design and Installation Services	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 18,925	\$ 10,307	\$ 6,601	\$ 3,760	\$ —	\$ 39,593
Segment contribution	3,357	1,987	1,175	261	(13,105)	(6,325)
Interest expense, net					(349)	(349)
Miscellaneous					(209)	(209)
Income taxes-current					—	—
Income taxes-deferred					(95)	(95)
Non controlling interest					14	14
Net loss attributable to Ultralife						\$ (6,964)
Total assets	\$ 47,565	\$ 27,485	\$ 39,537	\$ 23,252	\$ 4,824	\$ 142,663

**Three-Month Period Ended June 28, 2008**

	Non-Rechargeable Products	Rechargeable Products	Communications Systems	Design and Installation Services	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 17,699	\$ 4,490	\$ 61,946	\$ 3,763	\$ —	\$ 87,898
Segment contribution	2,251	821	16,741	815	(10,691)	9,937
Interest expense, net					(238)	(238)
Miscellaneous					40	40
Income taxes-current					(264)	(264)
Income taxes-deferred					(3,095)	(3,095)
Non controlling interest					15	15
Net income attributable to Ultralife						\$ 6,395
Total assets	\$ 46,848	\$ 19,773	\$ 68,469	\$ 14,993	\$ 3,945	\$ 154,028

**Six-Month Period Ended June 28, 2009**

	Non-Rechargeable Products	Rechargeable Products	Communications Systems	Design and Installation Services	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 34,497	\$ 24,161	\$ 10,837	\$ 9,901	\$ —	\$ 79,396
Segment contribution	6,179	5,425	2,215	742	(23,143)	(8,582)
Interest expense, net					(528)	(528)
Miscellaneous					(198)	(198)
Income taxes-current					(2)	(2)
Income taxes-deferred					(184)	(184)
Non controlling interest					18	18
Net loss attributable to Ultralife						\$ (9,476)
Total assets	\$ 47,565	\$ 27,485	\$ 39,537	\$ 23,252	\$ 4,824	\$ 142,663

**Six-Month Period Ended June 28, 2008**

	Non-Rechargeable Products	Rechargeable Products	Communications Systems	Design and Installation Services	Corporate	Total
Revenues	\$ 32,315	\$ 11,228	\$ 86,000	\$ 7,942	\$ —	\$ 137,485
Segment contribution	5,307	2,022	22,862	1,312	(19,203)	12,300
Interest expense, net					(556)	(556)
Gain on debt conversion					313	313
Miscellaneous					148	148
Income taxes-current					(318)	(318)
Income taxes-deferred					(3,086)	(3,086)
Non controlling interest					28	28
Net loss attributable to Ultralife						\$ 8,829
Total assets	\$ 46,848	\$ 19,773	\$ 68,469	\$ 14,993	\$ 3,945	\$ 154,028

**13. FIRE AT MANUFACTURING FACILITY**

In November 2006, we experienced a fire that damaged certain inventory and property at our facility in China, which began in a battery storage area. Certain inventory and portions of buildings were damaged. We believe we maintain adequate insurance coverage for this operation. The total amount of the loss pertaining to assets and the related expenses was approximately \$849. The majority of the insurance claim is related to the recovery of damaged inventory. In July 2007, we received approximately \$637 as a partial payment on our insurance claim, which resulted in no gain or loss being recognized. In March 2008, we received a final settlement payment of \$191, which offset the outstanding receivable of approximately \$152 and resulted in a non-operating gain of approximately \$39.

**14. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The fair value of cash, accounts receivable, trade accounts payable, accrued liabilities and our revolving credit facility approximates carrying value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair value of our convertible note approximates carrying value based on the short duration (sixteen months) of this note. The estimated fair value of other long-term debt and capital lease obligations approximates carrying value due to the variable nature of the interest rates or the stated interest rates approximating current interest rates that are available for debt with similar terms.

**15. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS AND DEVELOPMENTS**

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets — an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140". SFAS No. 166 removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity from Statement No. 140 and removes the exception from applying FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities", to qualifying special-purpose entities. SFAS No. 166 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2009. Earlier application is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption of this pronouncement to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)." The amendments include: (1) the elimination of the exemption for qualifying special purpose

## Table of Contents

entities, (2) a new approach for determining who should consolidate a variable-interest entity, and (3) changes to when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a variable-interest entity. SFAS No. 167 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2009. Earlier adoption is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption of this pronouncement to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, “The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles—a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162.” The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“Codification”) is intended to be the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and reporting standards as issued by the FASB. Its primary purpose is to improve clarity and use of existing standards by grouping authoritative literature under common topics. SFAS No. 168 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification does not change or alter existing GAAP and there is no expected impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, “Subsequent Events.” SFAS No. 165 incorporates guidance into accounting literature that was previously addressed only in auditing standards. SFAS No. 165 refers to subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the balance-sheet date as “recognized subsequent events”. Subsequent events which provide evidence about conditions that arose after the balance-sheet date but prior to the issuance of the financial statements are referred to as “non-recognized subsequent events”. It also requires the disclosure of the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated and whether this date is the date the financial statements were issued or the date the financial statements were available to be issued. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements. See Note 1 for disclosures associated with the adoption of this accounting pronouncement.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, “Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments” (“FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1”). FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amends SFAS No. 107, “Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments,” to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 also amends APB Opinion No. 28, “Interim Financial Reporting,” to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. In periods after initial adoption, FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 requires comparative disclosures only for periods ending after initial adoption. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements. See Note 14 for disclosures associated with the adoption of this accounting pronouncement.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified the consensus reached on Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 07-05, “Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity’s Own Stock” (“EITF Issue No. 07-5”). EITF Issue No. 07-05 clarifies the determination of whether an instrument (or an embedded feature) is indexed to an entity’s own stock, which would qualify as a scope exception under SFAS No. 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities”. EITF Issue No. 07-05 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption for an existing instrument is not permitted. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, “Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)” (“FSP No. APB 14-1”). FSP No. APB 14-1 clarifies that convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) are not addressed by paragraph 12

## Table of Contents

of APB Opinion No. 14, “Accounting for Convertible Debt and Debt Issued with Stock Purchase Warrants.” Additionally, FSP No. APB 14-1 specifies that issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity’s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. FSP No. APB 14-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. SFAS 142-3, “Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets.” (“FSP No. SFAS 142-3”). FSP No. SFAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.” FSP FAS 142-3 intends to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141 (Revised 2007), “Business Combinations”, and other U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. FSP No. SFAS 142-3 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133”. The statement amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133 to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (ii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations, and (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. The statement also requires (i) qualitative disclosures about objectives for using derivatives by primary underlying risk exposure, (ii) information about the volume of derivative activity, (iii) tabular disclosures about balance sheet location and gross fair value amounts of derivative instruments, income statement, and other comprehensive income location and amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments by type of contract, and (iv) disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years or interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No. 141R”), which replaces SFAS 141. The statement retains the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way assets and liabilities are recognized in purchase accounting. It also changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies, requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value, and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and will apply prospectively to business combinations completed on or after that date. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements. The future impact of adopting SFAS No. 141R will depend on the future business combinations that we may pursue.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB 51”, which changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests. Minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and will be reported as a component of equity separate from the parent’s equity, and purchases or sales of equity interests that do not result in a change in control will be accounted for as equity transactions. In addition, net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement and, upon a loss of control, the interest sold, as well as any interest retained, will be recorded at fair value with any gain or loss recognized in earnings.

## Table of Contents

SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and will apply prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which will apply retrospectively. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements, except for the revised disclosures that are required. The future impact of adopting SFAS No. 160 will depend on the structure of future business combinations or partnerships that we may pursue.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements". SFAS No. 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. It does not require any new fair value measurements, but does require expanded disclosures to provide information about the extent to which fair value is used to measure assets and liabilities, the methods and assumptions used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption encouraged. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No. 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157" ("FSP"). The FSP delayed, for one year, the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements on at least an annual basis. As such, we partially adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 effective January 1, 2008. The partial adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on our financial statements. We adopted the deferred provisions of SFAS No. 157 effective January 1, 2009 which impacts the way in which we calculate fair value for assets and liabilities initially measured at fair value in a business combination, our annual impairment review of goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets, and when conditions exist that require us to calculate the fair value of long-lived assets. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements, except for the additional disclosures that are required.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements. This report contains certain forward-looking statements and information that are based on the beliefs of management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to management. The statements contained in this report relating to matters that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, future demand for our products and services, potential delays in the release of purchase orders for our products pursuant to outstanding contracts, consequences of inventory buildups in anticipation of purchase orders that may not be fulfilled, addressing the process of U.S. military procurement, the successful commercialization of our products, the successful integration of our acquired businesses, general domestic and global economic conditions, including the recent distress in the financial markets that has had an adverse impact on the availability of credit and liquidity resources generally, government and environmental regulation, finalization of non-bid government contracts, competition and customer strategies, technological innovations in the non-rechargeable and rechargeable battery industries, changes in our business strategy or development plans, capital deployment, business disruptions, including those caused by fires, raw material supplies, environmental regulations, and other risks and uncertainties, certain of which are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may differ materially from those forward-looking statements described herein as anticipated, believed, estimated or expected or words of similar import. For further discussion of certain of the matters described above, see Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in this Form 10-Q.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto contained in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The financial information in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is presented in thousands of dollars, except for share and per share amounts.

General

We offer products and services ranging from portable and standby power solutions to communications and electronics systems. Through our engineering and collaborative approach to problem solving, we serve government, defense and commercial customers across the globe. We design, manufacture, install and maintain power and communications systems including: rechargeable and non-rechargeable batteries, standby power systems, communications and electronics systems and accessories, and custom engineered systems, solutions and services. We sell our products worldwide through a variety of trade channels, including original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), industrial and retail distributors, national retailers and directly to U.S. and international defense departments.

We report our results in four operating segments: Non-Rechargeable Products, Rechargeable Products, Communications Systems and Design and Installation Services. The Non-Rechargeable Products segment includes: lithium 9-volt, cylindrical and various other non-rechargeable batteries. The Rechargeable Products segment includes: rechargeable batteries, charging systems, uninterruptable power supplies and accessories, such as cables. The Communications Systems segment includes: power supplies, cable and connector assemblies, RF amplifiers, amplified speakers, equipment mounts, case equipment and integrated communication system kits. The Design and Installation Services segment includes: standby power and communications and electronics systems design, installation and maintenance activities and revenues and related costs associated with various development contracts. We look at our segment performance at the gross margin level, and we do not allocate research and development or selling, general and administrative costs against the segments. All other items that do not specifically relate to these four



## Table of Contents

segments and are not considered in the performance of the segments are considered to be Corporate charges. (See Note 12 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.)

We continually evaluate ways to grow, including opportunities to expand through mergers, acquisitions and joint ventures, which can broaden the scope of our products and services, expand operating and market opportunities and provide the ability to enter new lines of business synergistic with our portfolio of offerings.

In March 2008, we formed a joint venture, named Ultralife Batteries India Private Limited (“India JV”), with our distributor partner in India. The India JV assembles Ultralife power solution products and manages local sales and marketing activities, serving commercial, government and defense customers throughout India. We have invested \$86 in cash into the India JV, as consideration for our 51% ownership stake in the India JV.

In June 2008, we changed our corporate name from Ultralife Batteries, Inc. to Ultralife Corporation. The purpose of the name change was to align our corporate name more closely with the business now being conducted by us, as we are no longer exclusively a battery manufacturing company.

On November 10, 2008, we acquired certain assets of U.S. Energy Systems, Inc. and its service affiliate, U.S. Power Services, Inc. (“USE” collectively), a nationally recognized standby power installation and power management services business. USE is located in Riverside, California. Under the terms of the agreement, the initial purchase price consisted of \$2,865 in cash. In addition, on the achievement of certain annual post-acquisition financial milestones, we will issue up to an aggregate of 200,000 unregistered shares of our common stock, during the period ending December 31, 2012. (See Note 2 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.)

On March 20, 2009, we acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the liabilities of the tactical communications products business of Science Applications International Corporation. The tactical communications products business (“AMTI”), located in Virginia Beach, Virginia, designs, develops and manufactures tactical communications products including amplifiers, man-portable systems, cables, power solutions and ancillary communications equipment. Under the terms of the asset purchase agreement for AMTI, the purchase price consisted of \$5,717 in cash. (See Note 2 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.)

On June 1, 2009, the Board of Directors appointed John C. Casper as our Vice-President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer, succeeding Robert W. Fishback.

## Overview

Revenue during the second quarter of 2009 was consistent with the first quarter of 2009 due to continued delays in contracting associated with funded government programs. Revenue was virtually zero in automotive telematics due to further inventory corrections in the automotive business and declined in the standby power business due to the deferral of capital spending in the data processing and telecom industries. Revenue was slightly up in other sectors of the business.

In the second quarter of 2009, we booked a number of non-recurring charges due to a legal action, various operational changes resulting in termination costs and an increase in inventory provisions. While the legal action resulted in a judgment in our favor, defending our position in court against counterclaims asserted against us cost us higher than normal legal fees. Our operational changes included the closing of our Seattle area amplifier operation and the consolidation of it into our newly acquired AMTI operation, and certain severance costs. Inventory reserves resulted from an examination of our inventory in the light of a slower economy. The slowdown in economic activity has caused us to re-evaluate the parts deemed to be slow-moving and the relationship of their cost to their market value.

## Table of Contents

Sales of batteries remained strong with notable weakness only in the automotive sector and in the standby power market. We are still being designed into new and existing applications, with particular strength in military markets.

In the standby power market, we have seen the weakness in the capital markets manifest itself with continued deferrals of projects. This has pushed out several significant programs with major customers. It is also exerting pricing pressure on lead-acid battery sales as suppliers are fighting to liquidate inventories and generate cash in a tighter market. We have reduced expenses in this business segment, consistent with further consolidation of operations, while remaining poised to execute, as we believe, that the mission critical applications that this area addresses can only defer replacements, upgrades and investments in backup power for so long.

In the communications systems business, apart from being ready for the SATCOM-on-the-Move orders, we have now consolidated our amplifier manufacturing under the AMTI operation that we purchased in the first quarter of 2009 from SAIC. By consolidating our Seattle area operation into the AMTI operation in Virginia Beach, we are able to reduce overhead costs, while leveraging a strong technical base. This involved the outsourcing of some of the Seattle area production and the relocation of some of the technical talent along with the closing of a facility that is estimated to save us up to \$2,000 a year in expenses. The AMTI operation got off to a strong start in the second quarter of 2009 with sales of amplifiers in support of our communications systems business.

Delays in two separate major programs have affected our ability to be profitable in the first half of 2009; the delay in SATCOM-on-the-Move orders and the delay in our program with the U.K. Ministry of Defence (“UKMOD”). We are still specified as the government furnished equipment provider (“GFE”), for the MRAP and other programs, including the MATV program that has just been awarded to Oshkosh Corporation. Because we supply this product through one or more prime contractors, our visibility on timing is derived from the information that we receive from the contractors involved. The government has been in negotiations now for over nine months and we still believe that an award is imminent. We believe that it is not an issue of whether this will happen, but one of when this will happen.

During the first quarter of 2009, we were selected as the new battery supplier for the UKMOD for their primary communications radios. This program was delayed from an anticipated first quarter of 2009 start and we have been making the engineering changes that are desired by the customer for this new set of products. We have had a major engineering commitment on this program for over one year now and we expect the revenue from this to commence in the fourth quarter of 2009 on a three year contract.

Either of these programs would be expected to put us into positive quarterly earnings and despite our certainty about these programs, we have still taken the responsible steps to get expenses down to as low a level as prudent, so that profit from these programs can be as incremental as possible. Some of those cost cutting measures include: implementing a four-day work week for production personnel in our Newark operations, beginning in the third quarter of 2009, to align inventory and production levels with current sales levels; consolidation of operations that are designed to lower the fixed costs basis of our operations; overall cost reductions and tightening of cost controls; and deferral of some discretionary spending.

Effective June 28, 2009, we entered into Waiver and Amendment Number One to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (“Waiver and Amendment”) with the Lenders and Agent. The Waiver and Amendment provided that the Lenders and Agent would waive their right to exercise their respective rights and remedies under the credit facility arising from our failure to comply with the financial covenants in the credit facility with respect to the fiscal quarter ended June 28, 2009. In addition to a number of revisions to non-financial covenants, the Waiver and Amendment revised the applicable revolver rate under the Restated Credit Agreement to an interest rate structure based on the Prime Rate plus 200 basis points or LIBOR plus 500 basis points.

Results of Operations

*Three-month periods ended June 28, 2009 and June 28, 2008*

**Revenues.** Consolidated revenues for the three-month period ended June 28, 2009 amounted to \$39,593, a decrease of \$48,305, or 55.0%, from the \$87,898 reported in the same quarter in the prior year.

Non-Rechargeable product sales increased \$1,226, or 6.9%, from \$17,699 last year to \$18,925 this year. The increase in Non-Rechargeable revenues was mainly attributable to higher shipments of our BA-5390 batteries to government/defense customers, offset in part by a decline in sales to automotive telematics customers.

Rechargeable product sales increased \$5,817, or 129.6%, from \$4,490 last year to \$10,307 this year. The increase in Rechargeable revenues was mainly attributable to strong demand for batteries and charging systems from U.S. defense customers.

Communications Systems revenues decreased \$55,345, or 89.3%, from \$61,946 last year to \$6,601 this year, due to deliveries of SATCOM-on-the-Move and other advanced communications systems in 2008 resulting primarily from the sizeable orders we received during the latter part of 2007, that did not reoccur in 2009. Continued delays in finalizing the contract vehicle with prime contractors for government programs, where we have been specified as the GFE, have caused this non-reoccurrence of sales for advanced communications systems.

Design and Installation Services revenues were relatively unchanged from \$3,763 last year to \$3,760 this year.

**Cost of Products Sold.** Cost of products sold totaled \$32,813 for the quarter ended June 28, 2009, a decrease of \$34,457, or 51.2%, from the \$67,270 reported for the same three-month period a year ago. Consolidated cost of products sold as a percentage of total revenue increased from 76.5% for the three-month period ended June 28, 2008 to 82.9% for the three-month period ended June 28, 2009. Correspondingly, consolidated gross margin was 17.1% for the three-month period ended June 28, 2009, compared with 23.5% for the three-month period ended June 28, 2008, generally attributable to the margin decreases in the Communications Systems and Design and Installation Services segments, offset by improvements in the Non-Rechargeable Products and Rechargeable Products segments. These results are impacted as well by the significant reduction in sales of our higher margin Communications Systems products.

In our Non-Rechargeable Products segment, the cost of products sold increased \$120, from \$15,448 in the three-month period ended June 28, 2008 to \$15,568 in 2009. Non-Rechargeable gross margin for 2009 was \$3,357, or 17.7% of revenues, an increase of \$1,106 from 2008's gross margin of \$2,251, or 12.7% of revenues. Non-Rechargeable gross margin increased for the three-month period ended June 28, 2009, primarily as a result of higher sales volumes and product mix, in comparison to the three-month period ended June 28, 2008. Also, the approximate \$750 restructuring charge that was recorded relating to refocusing our U.K. operations toward enhancing our ability to serve our customers, including the U.K. Ministry of Defence, resulting in employee termination costs and certain asset valuation adjustments in 2008, did not reoccur in 2009.

In our Rechargeable Products segment, the cost of products sold increased \$4,651, from \$3,669 in the three-month period ended June 28, 2008 to \$8,320 in 2009. Rechargeable gross margin for 2009 was \$1,987, or 19.3% of revenues, an increase of \$1,166 from 2008's gross margin of \$821, or 18.3% of revenues. Rechargeable gross margin improved primarily as a result of higher sales volumes and favorable product mix, as well as lower costs for material and component parts.

## [Table of Contents](#)

In our Communications Systems segment, the cost of products sold decreased \$39,779, from \$45,205 in the three-month period ended June 28, 2008 to \$5,426 in 2009. Communications Systems gross margin for 2009 was \$1,175, or 17.8% of revenues, a decrease of \$15,566 from 2008's gross margin of \$16,741, or 27.0% of revenues. The decrease in the gross margin for Communications Systems resulted mainly from the change in the overall sales mix and lower sales volume in this segment, in addition to increased inventory reserves. We have also implemented a four-day work week for production personnel in our Newark operations, which includes a significant portion of our communications systems manufacturing operations, beginning in the third quarter of 2009, to align inventory and production levels with current sales levels.

In our Design and Installation Services segment, the cost of sales increased \$551, from \$2,948 in the three-month period ended June 28, 2008 to \$3,499 in 2009. Design and Installation Services gross margin for 2009 was \$261, or 6.9% of revenues, a decrease of \$554 from 2008's gross margin of \$815, or 21.7% of revenues. Gross margin in this particular segment was weaker than expected due to expected short-term price competition with component suppliers, relatively low margin jobs that carried over from year-end, and ongoing integration efforts related to the USE acquisition.

**Operating Expenses.** Total operating expenses for the three-month period ended June 28, 2009 totaled \$13,105, an increase of \$2,414 from the prior year's amount of \$10,691. Overall, operating expenses as a percentage of sales increased to 33.1% in the second quarter of 2009 from 12.2% reported in the prior year, due to the overall expense increase over a lower revenue base. In response to this unfavorable change to the percentage of sales, we have consolidated some of our operations in an effort to lower the fixed costs basis of our operations, performed an overall cost reduction analysis and tightened our cost controls, and deferred some of our discretionary spending. Amortization expense associated with intangible assets related to our acquisitions was \$466 for 2009 (\$318 in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$148 in research and development costs), compared with \$526 for 2008 (\$368 in selling, general, and administrative expenses and \$158 in research and development costs). Research and development costs were \$2,514 in 2009, an increase of \$377, or 17.6%, over the \$2,137 reported in 2008 as we increased our investment in product development and design activity. Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$2,037, or 23.8%, to \$10,591. This increase was comprised of costs related to recently acquired companies, in addition to higher sales and marketing expenses related to development of new territories for the standby power business and generally higher administrative costs.

**Other Income (Expense).** Other income (expense) totaled (\$558) for the second quarter of 2009, compared to (\$198) for the second quarter of 2008. Interest expense, net of interest income, increased \$111, to \$349 for the second quarter of 2009 from \$238 for the comparable period in 2008, mainly as a result of higher average borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Miscellaneous income/expense amounted to expense of \$209 for the second quarter of 2009 compared with income of \$40 for the same period in 2008. The expense in 2009 was primarily due to transactions impacted by changes in foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar.

**Income Taxes.** We reflected a tax provision of \$95 for the second quarter of 2009 compared with \$3,359 in the second quarter of 2008. The second quarter of 2008 tax provision included an approximate \$3,100 non-cash charge to record a deferred tax liability for liabilities generated from book/tax differences pertaining to goodwill and certain intangible assets that cannot be predicted to reverse during our loss carryforward periods. Substantially all of this adjustment related to book/tax differences that occurred during 2007 and was identified during the second quarter of 2008. In connection with this adjustment, we reviewed the illustrative list of qualitative considerations provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99 and other qualitative factors in our determination that this adjustment was not material to the 2007 consolidated financial statements or this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The effective consolidated tax rate for the second quarter of 2009 was (1.4%) compared with 34.5% for the same period in 2008.

## [Table of Contents](#)

During the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2006, we recorded a full valuation allowance on our net deferred tax asset, due to the determination, at that time, that it was more likely than not that we would not be able to utilize our U.S. and U.K. net operating loss carryforwards (“NOL’s”) that had accumulated over time. At June 28, 2009, we continue to recognize a valuation allowance on our U.S. deferred tax asset, to the extent that we believe, that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize that portion of our U.S. NOL’s that had accumulated over time. A U.S. valuation allowance is not required for the portion of the deferred tax asset that will be realized by the reversal of temporary differences related to deferred tax liabilities to the extent those temporary differences are expected to reverse in our carryforward period. At June 28, 2009, we continue to recognize a full valuation allowance on our U.K. net deferred tax asset, as we believe, at this time, that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize our U.K. NOL’s that had accumulated over time. (See Note 8 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.) We continually monitor the assumptions and performance results to assess the realizability of the tax benefits of the U.S. and U.K. NOL’s and other deferred tax assets, in accordance with the applicable accounting standards.

We have determined that a change in ownership, as defined under Internal Revenue Code Section 382, occurred in 2005 and 2006. As such, the domestic NOL carryforward will be subject to an annual limitation estimated to be in the range of approximately \$12,000 to \$14,500. The unused portion of the annual limitation can be carried forward to subsequent periods. We believe such limitation will not impact our ability to realize the deferred tax asset.

In addition, certain of our NOL carryforwards are subject to U.S. alternative minimum tax such that carryforwards can offset only 90% of alternative minimum taxable income. This limitation did not have an impact on income taxes determined for the second quarter of 2009. However, this limitation did have an impact of \$264 on income taxes determined for the second quarter of 2008. The use of our U.K. NOL carryforwards may be limited due to the change in the U.K. operation during 2008 from a manufacturing and assembly center to primarily a distribution and service center. For further discussion, see Item 1A, “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

**Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Ultralife.** Net loss attributable to Ultralife and loss attributable to Ultralife common shareholders per diluted share were \$6,964 and \$0.41, respectively, for the three months ended June 28, 2009, compared to a net income attributable to Ultralife and earnings attributable to Ultralife common shareholders per diluted share of \$6,395 and \$0.36, respectively, for the same quarter last year, primarily as a result of the reasons described above. Average common shares outstanding used to compute diluted earnings per share decreased from 17,708,000 in the second quarter of 2008 to 16,894,000 in 2009, mainly due to the share repurchase program we initiated in the fourth quarter of 2008, offset by stock option and warrant exercises and restricted stock grants.

### ***Six-month periods ended March 29, 2009 and March 29, 2008***

**Revenues.** Consolidated revenues for the six-month period ended June 28, 2009 amounted to \$79,396, a decrease of \$58,089, or 42.3%, from the \$137,485 reported in the same quarter in the prior year.

Non-Rechargeable product sales increased \$2,182, or 6.8%, from \$32,315 last year to \$34,497 this year. The increase in Non-Rechargeable revenues was mainly attributable to higher shipments of our BA-5390 batteries to government/defense customers, offset in part by a decline in sales to automotive telematics customers.

Rechargeable product sales increased \$12,933, or 115.2%, from \$11,228 last year to \$24,161 this year. The increase in Rechargeable revenues was mainly attributable to strong demand for batteries and charging systems from U.S. defense customers.

## [Table of Contents](#)

Communications Systems revenues decreased \$75,163, or 87.4%, from \$86,000 last year to \$10,837 this year, due to deliveries of SATCOM-on-the-Move and other advanced communications systems in 2008 resulting primarily from the sizeable orders we received during the latter part of 2007, that did not reoccur in 2009. Continued delays in finalizing the contract vehicle with prime contractors for government programs, where we have been specified as the GFE, have caused this non-reoccurrence of sales for advanced communications systems.

Design and Installation Services revenues increased \$1,959, or 24.7%, from \$7,942 last year to \$9,901 this year, mainly due to the added revenue base provided from the acquisition of USE in the fourth quarter of 2008.

**Cost of Products Sold.** Cost of products sold totaled \$64,835 for the six-month period ended June 28, 2009, a decrease of \$41,147, or 38.8%, from the \$105,982 reported for the same six-month period a year ago. Consolidated cost of products sold as a percentage of total revenue increased from 77.1% for the six-month period ended June 28, 2008 to 81.7% for the six-month period ended June 28, 2009. Correspondingly, consolidated gross margin was 18.3% for the six-month period ended June 28, 2009, compared with 22.9% for the six-month period ended June 28, 2008, generally attributable to the margin decreases in the Communications Systems and Design and Installation Services segments, offset by improvements in the Non-Rechargeable Products and Rechargeable Products segments. These results are impacted as well by the significant reduction in sales of our higher margin Communications Systems products.

In our Non-Rechargeable Products segment, the cost of products sold increased \$1,310, from \$27,008 in the six-month period ended June 28, 2008 to \$28,318 in 2009. Non-Rechargeable gross margin for 2009 was \$6,179, or 17.9% of revenues, an increase of \$872 from 2008's gross margin of \$5,307, or 16.4% of revenues. Non-Rechargeable gross margin increased for the six-month period ended June 28, 2009, primarily as a result of higher sales volumes and product mix, in comparison to the six-month period ended June 28, 2008. Also, the approximate \$750 restructuring charge that was recorded relating to refocusing our U.K. operations toward enhancing our ability to serve our customers, including the U.K. Ministry of Defence, resulting in employee termination costs and certain asset valuation adjustments in 2008, did not reoccur in 2009.

In our Rechargeable Products segment, the cost of products sold increased \$9,530, from \$9,206 in the six-month period ended June 28, 2008 to \$18,736 in 2009. Rechargeable gross margin for 2009 was \$5,425, or 22.5% of revenues, an increase of \$3,403 from 2008's gross margin of \$2,022, or 18.0% of revenues. Rechargeable gross margin improved primarily as a result of higher sales volumes and favorable product mix, as well as lower costs for material and component parts.

In our Communications Systems segment, the cost of products sold decreased \$54,516, from \$63,138 in the six-month period ended June 28, 2008 to \$8,622 in 2009. Communications Systems gross margin for 2009 was \$2,215, or 20.4% of revenues, a decrease of \$20,647 from 2008's gross margin of \$22,862, or 26.6% of revenues. The decrease in the gross margin for Communications Systems resulted mainly from the change in the overall sales mix and lower sales volume in this segment, in addition to increased inventory reserves. We have also implemented a four-day work week for production personnel in our Newark operations, which includes a significant portion of our communications systems manufacturing operations, beginning in the third quarter of 2009, to align inventory and production levels with current sales levels.

In our Design and Installation Services segment, the cost of sales increased \$2,529, from \$6,630 in the six-month period ended June 28, 2008 to \$9,159 in 2009. Design and Installation Services gross margin for 2009 was \$742, or 7.5% of revenues, a decrease of \$570 from 2008's gross margin of \$1,312, or 16.5% of revenues. Gross margin in this particular segment was weaker than expected due to expected short-term price competition with component suppliers, relatively low margin jobs that carried over from year-end, and ongoing integration efforts related to the USE acquisition.

**Operating Expenses.** Total operating expenses for the six-month period ended June 28, 2009 were \$23,143, an increase of \$3,940 from the prior year's amount of \$19,203. Overall, operating expenses as a percentage of sales increased to 29.1% in the first six months of 2009 from 14.0% reported in the prior year, due to the overall expense increase over a lower revenue base. In response to this unfavorable change to the percentage of sales, we have consolidated some of our operations that are designed to lower the fixed costs basis of our operations, performed an overall cost reduction analysis and tightened our cost controls, and deferred some of our discretionary spending. Amortization expense associated with intangible assets related to our acquisitions was \$807 for 2009 (\$549 in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$258 in research and development costs), compared with \$1,046 for 2008 (\$729 in selling, general, and administrative expenses and \$317 in research and development costs). Research and development costs were \$4,494 in 2009, an increase of \$748, or 20.0%, over the \$3,746 reported in 2008 as we increased our investment in product development and design activity. Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$3,192, or 20.7%, to \$18,649. This increase was comprised of costs related to recently acquired companies, in addition to higher sales and marketing expenses related to development of new territories for the standby power business and generally higher administrative costs.

**Other Income (Expense).** Other income (expense) totaled (\$726) for the first six months of 2009, compared to (\$95) for the first six months of 2008. Interest expense, net of interest income, decreased \$28, to \$528 for the first six months of 2009 from \$556 for the comparable period in 2008, mainly as a result of lower interest rates on our revolving credit facility. In 2008, we recognized a gain of \$313 on the early conversion of the \$10,500 convertible notes held by the sellers of McDowell, which related to an increase in the interest rate on the notes from 4.0% to 5.0% in October 2007. Miscellaneous income/expense amounted to expense of \$198 for the first six months of 2009 compared with income of \$148 for the same period in 2008. This decrease was primarily due to transactions impacted by changes in foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar.

**Income Taxes.** We reflected a tax provision of \$186 for the first six months of 2009 compared with \$3,404 in the first six months of 2008. The second quarter of 2008 tax provision included an approximate \$3,100 non-cash charge to record a deferred tax liability for liabilities generated from book/tax differences pertaining to goodwill and certain intangible assets that cannot be predicted to reverse during our loss carryforward periods. Substantially all of this adjustment related to book/tax differences that occurred during 2007 and was identified during the second quarter of 2008. In connection with this adjustment, we reviewed the illustrative list of qualitative considerations provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99 and other qualitative factors in our determination that this adjustment was not material to the 2007 consolidated financial statements or this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The effective consolidated tax rate for the first six months of 2009 was (2.0%) compared with 27.9% for the same period in 2008.

During the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2006, we recorded a full valuation allowance on our net deferred tax asset, due to the determination, at that time, that it was more likely than not that we would not be able to utilize our U.S. and U.K. net operating loss carryforwards ("NOL's") that had accumulated over time. At June 28, 2009, we continue to recognize a valuation allowance on our U.S. deferred tax asset, to the extent that we believe, that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize that portion of our U.S. NOL's that had accumulated over time. A U.S. valuation allowance is not required for the portion of the deferred tax asset that will be realized by the reversal of temporary differences related to deferred tax liabilities to the extent those temporary differences are expected to reverse in our carryforward period. At June 28, 2009, we continue to recognize a full valuation allowance on our U.K. net deferred tax asset, as we believe, at this time, that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize our U.K. NOL's that had accumulated over time. (See Note 8 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.) We continually monitor the assumptions and performance results to assess the realizability of the tax benefits of the U.S. and U.K. NOL's and other deferred tax assets, in accordance with the applicable accounting standards.



## Table of Contents

We have determined that a change in ownership, as defined under Internal Revenue Code Section 382, occurred in 2005 and 2006. As such, the domestic NOL carryforward will be subject to an annual limitation estimated to be in the range of approximately \$12,000 to \$14,500. The unused portion of the annual limitation can be carried forward to subsequent periods. We believe such limitation will not impact our ability to realize the deferred tax asset.

In addition, certain of our NOL carryforwards are subject to U.S. alternative minimum tax such that carryforwards can offset only 90% of alternative minimum taxable income. This limitation did not have an impact on income taxes determined for the first six months of 2009. However, this limitation did have an impact of \$318 on income taxes determined for the first six months of 2008. The use of our U.K. NOL carryforwards may be limited due to the change in the U.K. operation during 2008 from a manufacturing and assembly center to primarily a distribution and service center. For further discussion, see Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

**Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Ultralife.** Net loss attributable to Ultralife and loss attributable to Ultralife common shareholders per diluted share were \$9,476 and \$0.56, respectively, for the six months ended June 28, 2009, compared to a net income attributable to Ultralife and earnings attributable to Ultralife common shareholders per diluted share of \$8,829 and \$0.50, respectively, for the same quarter last year, primarily as a result of the reasons described above. Average common shares outstanding used to compute diluted earnings per share decreased from 17,770,000 in the first six months of 2008 to 17,024,000 in 2009, mainly due to the share repurchase program we initiated in the fourth quarter of 2008, offset by stock option and warrant exercises and restricted stock grants.

## Adjusted EBITDA

In evaluating our business, we consider and use Adjusted EBITDA, a non-GAAP financial measure, as a supplemental measure of our operating performance. We define Adjusted EBITDA as net income (loss) before net interest expense, provision (benefit) for income taxes, depreciation and amortization, plus/minus expenses/income that we do not consider reflective of our ongoing operations. We use Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure to review and assess our operating performance and to enhance comparability between periods. We also believe the use of Adjusted EBITDA facilitates investors' use of operating performance comparisons from period to period and company to company by backing out potential differences caused by variations in such items as capital structures (affecting relative interest expense and stock-based compensation expense), the book amortization of intangible assets (affecting relative amortization expense), the age and book value of facilities and equipment (affecting relative depreciation expense) and other significant non-cash, non-operating expenses or income. We also present Adjusted EBITDA because we believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties as a measure of financial performance. We reconcile Adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife, the most comparable financial measure under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP").

We use Adjusted EBITDA in our decision-making processes relating to the operation of our business together with U.S. GAAP financial measures such as income (loss) from operations. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA permits a comparative assessment of our operating performance, relative to our performance based on our U.S. GAAP results, while isolating the effects of depreciation and amortization, which may vary from period to period without any correlation to underlying operating performance, and of non-cash stock-based compensation, which is a non-cash expense that varies widely among companies. We provide information relating to our Adjusted EBITDA so that securities analysts, investors and other interested parties have the same data that we employ in assessing our overall operations. We believe that trends in our Adjusted EBITDA are a valuable indicator of our operating performance on a consolidated basis and of our ability to produce operating cash flows to fund working capital needs, to service debt obligations and to fund capital expenditures.



## [Table of Contents](#)

The term Adjusted EBITDA is not defined under U.S. GAAP, and is not a measure of operating income, operating performance or liquidity presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Our Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and when assessing our operating performance, Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife or other consolidated statement of operations data prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Some of these limitations include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Adjusted EBITDA (1) does not reflect our cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments; (2) does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs; (3) does not reflect the interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt; (4) does not reflect income taxes or the cash requirements for any tax payments; and (5) does not reflect all of the costs associated with operating our business;
- although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized often will have to be replaced in the future, and Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;
- while stock-based compensation is a component of cost of products sold and operating expenses, the impact on our consolidated financial statements compared to other companies can vary significantly due to such factors as assumed life of the stock-based awards and assumed volatility of our common stock; and
- other companies may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our U.S. GAAP results and using Adjusted EBITDA only supplementally. Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as follows for the periods presented:

	Three-Month Periods Ended		Six-Month Periods Ended	
	June 28, 2009	June 28, 2008	June 28, 2009	June 28, 2008
Net income (loss) attributable to Ultralife	\$ (6,964)	\$ 6,395	\$ (9,476)	\$ 8,829
Add: interest expense, net	349	238	528	556
Add: income tax provision	95	3,359	186	3,404
Add: depreciation expense	997	933	1,939	1,931
Add: amortization expense	466	526	807	1,046
Add: stock-based compensation expense	541	709	1,077	1,196
Less: gain on debt conversion	—	—	—	(313)
Adjusted EBITDA	<u>\$ (4,516)</u>	<u>\$ 12,160</u>	<u>\$ (4,939)</u>	<u>\$ 16,649</u>

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 28, 2009, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$1,175, a decrease of \$703 from the beginning of the year. During the six-month period ended June 28, 2009, we used \$12,973 of cash from operating activities as compared to the generation of \$3,807 for the six-month period ended June 28, 2008. The use of cash from operating activities in 2009 resulted mainly from increased working capital requirements, including higher balances of inventory, as we prepared to deliver on anticipated contract awards and to meet the anticipated delivery schedules for those awards.

We used \$8,016 in cash for investing activities during the first six months of 2009 compared with \$1,605 in cash used for investing activities in the same period in 2008. In 2009, we spent \$1,253 to purchase plant, property and equipment, and \$6,763 was used in connection with the acquisition of AMTI, as well as contingent purchase price payouts related to RedBlack and RPS. In 2008, we spent \$1,616 to purchase plant, property and equipment.

During the six-month period ended June 28, 2009, we generated \$20,443 in funds from financing activities compared to the usage of \$3,654 in funds in the same period of 2008. The financing activities in 2009 included a \$23,900 inflow from drawdowns on the revolver portion of our primary credit facility, an inflow of \$751 for proceeds from the issuance of debt, and an inflow of cash from stock option and warrant exercises of \$310, offset by an outflow of \$1,192 for principal payments on term debt under our primary credit facility and capital lease obligations, and an outflow of \$3,326 for the purchase of treasury shares related to our share repurchase program.

Inventory turnover for the first six months of 2009 was an annualized rate of approximately 2.4 turns per year, a decrease from the 4.6 turns for the full year of 2008. The decrease in this metric is mainly due to a buildup in inventory in anticipation of certain orders from the U.S. Government that have been delayed, as well as the decrease in the sales volumes during 2009. Our Days Sales Outstanding (DSOs) as of June 28, 2009, was 67 days, an increase from the 53 days at year-end December 31, 2008, mainly due to the overall domestic and global recessionary economic conditions.

As of June 28, 2009, we had made commitments to purchase approximately \$495 of production machinery and equipment, which we expect to fund through operating cash flows or the use of debt.

*Debt Commitments*

Our primary credit facility consists of both a term loan component and a revolver component, and the facility is collateralized by essentially all of our assets, including those of our subsidiaries. The lenders of the credit facility are JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company (together, the "Lenders"), with JP Morgan Chase Bank acting as the administrative agent ("Agent"). The current revolver loan commitment is \$35,000. Availability under the revolving credit component is subject to meeting certain financial covenants, including a debt to earnings ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio. In addition, we are required to meet certain non-financial covenants. The rate of interest, in general, is based upon either the Prime Rate plus 200 basis points or LIBOR plus 500 basis points.

On June 30, 2004, we drew down on a \$10,000 term loan under the credit facility. The term loan is being repaid in equal monthly installments of \$167 over five years. On July 1, 2004, we entered into an interest rate swap arrangement in the notional amount of \$10,000 to be effective on August 2, 2004, related to the \$10,000 term loan, in order to take advantage of historically low interest rates. We received a fixed rate of interest in exchange for a variable rate. The swap rate received was 3.98% for five years. The total rate of interest paid by us is equal to the swap rate of 3.98% plus the applicable Eurodollar spread associated with the term loan. During the full year of 2008, the adjusted rate ranged from 5.73% to 6.48%. During the first six months of 2009, the adjusted rate was 6.48%. Derivative instruments are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", which requires that all derivative instruments be recognized in the financial statements at fair value. The fair value

## [Table of Contents](#)

of this arrangement at June 28, 2009 resulted in a liability of \$1, all of which was reflected as a short-term liability.

In July 2009, we paid the final monthly installment for the term loan under the credit facility and have no further obligations relating to the term loan portion of the credit facility. Correspondingly, the interest rate swap arrangement we entered into in connection with the term loan under the credit facility has expired and we have no further obligations under the interest rate swap arrangement.

There have been several amendments to the credit facility during the past few years, including amendments to authorize acquisitions and modify financial covenants. Effective April 23, 2008, we entered into Amendment Number Ten to Credit Agreement (“Amendment Ten”) with the Lenders. Amendment Ten increased the amount of the revolving credit facility from \$15,000 to \$22,500, an increase of \$7,500. Additionally, Amendment Ten amended the applicable revolver and term rates under the Credit Agreement from a variable pricing grid based on quarterly financial ratios to a set interest rate structure based on either the current prime rate, or a LIBOR rate plus 250 basis points.

Effective January 27, 2009, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “Restated Credit Agreement”) with the Lenders. The Restated Credit Agreement reflects the previous ten amendments to the original Credit Agreement dated June 30, 2004 between us and the Lenders and modifies certain of those provisions. The Restated Credit Agreement among other things (i) increased the revolver loan commitment from \$22,500 to \$35,000, (ii) extended the maturity date of the revolving credit component from January 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010, (iii) modified the interest rate, and (iv) modified certain covenants. The rate of interest is based, in general, upon either a LIBOR rate plus a Eurodollar spread or an Alternate Base Rate plus an ABR spread, as that term is defined in the Restated Credit Agreement, within a predetermined grid, which is dependent upon whether Earnings Before Interest and Taxes for the most recently completed fiscal quarter is greater than or less than zero. Generally, borrowings under the Restated Credit Agreement bear interest based primarily on the Prime Rate plus 50 to 200 basis points or LIBOR plus 300 to 500 basis points. Additionally, among other covenant modifications, the Restated Credit Agreement modified the financial covenants by (i) revising the debt to earnings ratio and fixed charge coverage ratio and (ii) deleting the current assets to liabilities ratio. As amended, we are to maintain a debt to earnings ratio at or below 2.75 to 1, and a fixed charge ratio at or above 1.25 to 1. As of June 28, 2009, our debt to earnings ratio was 6.05 to 1 and our fixed charge ratio was 0.35 to 1. Therefore, we were not in compliance with the financial covenants identified above, as amended.

Effective June 28, 2009, we entered into Waiver and Amendment Number One to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (“Waiver and Amendment”) with the Lenders and Agent. The Waiver and Amendment provided that the Lenders and Agent would waive their right to exercise their respective rights and remedies under the credit facility arising from our failure to comply with the financial covenants in the credit facility with respect to the fiscal quarter ended June 28, 2009. In addition to a number of revisions to non-financial covenants, the Waiver and Amendment revised the applicable revolver rate under the Restated Credit Agreement to an interest rate structure based on the Prime Rate plus 200 basis points or LIBOR plus 500 basis points.

As of June 28, 2009, we had \$167 outstanding under the term loan component of our credit facility with our primary lending bank and \$23,900 was outstanding under the revolver component. At June 28, 2009, the interest rate on the revolver component was 5.25%. As of June 28, 2009, the revolver arrangement provided for up to \$35,000 of borrowing capacity, including outstanding letters of credit. At June 28, 2009, we had \$319 of outstanding letters of credit related to this facility, leaving \$10,781 of additional borrowing capacity.

While we believe relations with our Lenders are good and we have received waivers as necessary in the past, there can be no assurance that such waivers will always be obtained when needed. If we are unable to obtain a waiver from our Lenders, we may need to implement alternative plans to provide us

## Table of Contents

with sufficient levels of liquidity and working capital. There can be no assurance that such alternatives would be available on acceptable terms and conditions or that we would be successful in our implementation of such plans.

Our ability to refinance our current credit facility, if necessary, or to secure additional capital resources to fund our operational and growth strategies will depend, in large part, on our ability to access the credit markets. The recent disruption in credit markets and our recent operating losses make it uncertain whether we will be able to access the credit markets when necessary or desirable. If we are not able to access credit markets and obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms when needed, our business could be materially harmed and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

### *Equity Transactions*

During the first six months of 2009 and 2008, we issued 70,000 and 240,000 shares of common stock, respectively, as a result of exercises of stock options and warrants. We received approximately \$310 in 2009 and \$2,163 in 2008 in cash proceeds as a result of these transactions.

On November 16, 2007, we finalized a settlement agreement with the sellers of McDowell Research, Ltd. relating to various operational issues that arose during the first several months following the July 2006 acquisition that significantly reduced our profit margins. The settlement agreement amount was approximately \$7,900. The settlement agreement reduced the principal amount on the convertible notes initially issued in that transaction from \$20,000 to \$14,000, and eliminated a \$1,889 liability related to a purchase price adjustment. In addition, the interest rate on the convertible notes was increased from 4% to 5% and we made prepayments totaling \$3,500 on the convertible notes. Upon payment of the \$3,500 in November 2007, we reported a one-time, non-operating gain of approximately \$7,550 to account for the settlement, net of certain adjustments related to the change in the interest rate on the convertible notes. Based on the facts and circumstances surrounding the settlement agreement, there was not a clear and direct link to the acquisition's purchase price; therefore, we recorded the settlement as an adjustment to income in accordance with SFAS No. 141. In January 2008, the remaining \$10,500 principal balance on the convertible notes was converted in full into 700,000 shares of our common stock, and the remaining \$313 that pertained to the change in the interest rate on the notes was recorded in other income as a gain on debt conversion.

### *Other Matters*

We continue to be optimistic about our future prospects and growth potential. We continually explore various sources of liquidity to ensure financing flexibility, including leasing alternatives, issuing new or refinancing existing debt, and raising equity through private or public offerings. Although we stay abreast of such financing alternatives, we believe we have the ability during the next 12 months to finance our operations primarily through internally generated funds or through the use of additional financing that currently is available to us. In the event that we are unable to finance our operations with the internally generated funds or through the use of additional financing that currently is available to us, we may need to seek additional credit or access capital markets for additional funds. We can provide no assurance, given the current state of credit markets, that we would be successful in this regard, especially in light of our recent operating performance.

If we are unable to achieve our plans or unforeseen events occur, we may need to implement alternative plans, in addition to plans that we have already initiated. While we believe we can complete our original plans or alternative plans, if necessary, there can be no assurance that such alternatives would be available on acceptable terms and conditions or that we would be successful in our implementation of such plans.

As described in Part II, Item 1, "Legal Proceedings" of this report, we are involved in certain environmental matters with respect to our facility in Newark, New York. Although we have reserved for

## Table of Contents

expenses related to this potential exposure, there can be no assurance that such reserve will be adequate. The ultimate resolution of this matter may have a significant adverse impact on the results of operations in the period in which it is resolved.

With respect to our battery products, we typically offer warranties against any defects due to product malfunction or workmanship for a period up to one year from the date of purchase. With respect to our communications accessory products, we typically offer a four-year warranty. We also offer a 10-year warranty on our 9-volt batteries that are used in ionization-type smoke detector applications. We provide for a reserve for these potential warranty expenses, which is based on an analysis of historical warranty issues. There is no assurance that future warranty claims will be consistent with past history, and in the event we experience a significant increase in warranty claims, there is no assurance that our reserves will be sufficient. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## Outlook

As a result of the delays in government/defense programs, mainly related to our communications systems business, we have lowered our revenue guidance for 2009 from \$230,000 to a range of approximately \$180,000 to \$210,000. Based on our revenue guidance for the second half of the year in the range of approximately \$100,000 to \$130,000, we expect operating income for the second half of the year in the range of approximately \$1,000 to \$10,000. Although we still expect orders for our advanced communications systems and rechargeable batteries and charging systems to be released against government/defense programs in 2009, our assumption is that administrative delays will cause implementation of these programs to be at least six months later than previously anticipated. The margins on the delayed sales relate to higher margin products in the Communications Systems segment.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Developments

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued SFAS No. 166, “Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets — an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140”. SFAS No. 166 removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity from Statement No. 140 and removes the exception from applying FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), “Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities”, to qualifying special-purpose entities. SFAS No. 166 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2009. Earlier application is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption of this pronouncement to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, “Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R).” The amendments include: (1) the elimination of the exemption for qualifying special purpose entities, (2) a new approach for determining who should consolidate a variable-interest entity, and (3) changes to when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a variable-interest entity. SFAS No. 167 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2009. Earlier adoption is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption of this pronouncement to have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, “The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles—a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162.” The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“Codification”) is intended to be the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and reporting standards as issued by the FASB. Its primary purpose is to improve clarity and use of existing standards by grouping authoritative literature under common topics. SFAS No. 168 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification does not change or alter existing GAAP and there is no expected impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

## Table of Contents

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, "Subsequent Events." SFAS No. 165 incorporates guidance into accounting literature that was previously addressed only in auditing standards. SFAS No. 165 refers to subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the balance-sheet date as "recognized subsequent events". Subsequent events which provide evidence about conditions that arose after the balance-sheet date but prior to the issuance of the financial statements are referred to as "non-recognized subsequent events". It also requires the disclosure of the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated and whether this date is the date the financial statements were issued or the date the financial statements were available to be issued. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements. See Note 1 in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for disclosures associated with the adoption of this accounting pronouncement.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, "Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments" ("FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1"). FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amends SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments," to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 also amends APB Opinion No. 28, "Interim Financial Reporting," to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 does not require disclosures for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes at initial adoption. In periods after initial adoption, FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 requires comparative disclosures only for periods ending after initial adoption. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements. See Note 14 in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for disclosures associated with the adoption of this accounting pronouncement.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified the consensus reached on Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 07-05, "Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock" ("EITF Issue No. 07-5"). EITF Issue No. 07-05 clarifies the determination of whether an instrument (or an embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock, which would qualify as a scope exception under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". EITF Issue No. 07-05 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption for an existing instrument is not permitted. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" ("FSP No. APB 14-1"). FSP No. APB 14-1 clarifies that convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) are not addressed by paragraph 12 of APB Opinion No. 14, "Accounting for Convertible Debt and Debt Issued with Stock Purchase Warrants." Additionally, FSP No. APB 14-1 specifies that issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. FSP No. APB 14-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. SFAS 142-3, "Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets." ("FSP No. SFAS 142-3"). FSP No. SFAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." FSP FAS 142-3 intends to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141 (Revised 2007), "Business Combinations", and other U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. FSP No. SFAS 142-3 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years

and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133”. The statement amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133 to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (ii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations, and (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. The statement also requires (i) qualitative disclosures about objectives for using derivatives by primary underlying risk exposure, (ii) information about the volume of derivative activity, (iii) tabular disclosures about balance sheet location and gross fair value amounts of derivative instruments, income statement, and other comprehensive income location and amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments by type of contract, and (iv) disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years or interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No. 141R”), which replaces SFAS 141. The statement retains the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way assets and liabilities are recognized in purchase accounting. It also changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies, requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value, and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and will apply prospectively to business combinations completed on or after that date. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements. The future impact of adopting SFAS No. 141R will depend on the future business combinations that we may pursue.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB 51”, which changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests. Minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and will be reported as a component of equity separate from the parent’s equity, and purchases or sales of equity interests that do not result in a change in control will be accounted for as equity transactions. In addition, net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement and, upon a loss of control, the interest sold, as well as any interest retained, will be recorded at fair value with any gain or loss recognized in earnings. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and will apply prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which will apply retrospectively. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements, except for the revised disclosures that are required. The future impact of adopting SFAS No. 160 will depend on the structure of future business combinations or partnerships that we may pursue.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements”. SFAS No. 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. It does not require any new fair value measurements, but does require expanded disclosures to provide information about the extent to which fair value is used to measure assets and liabilities, the methods and assumptions used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption encouraged. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No. 157-2, “Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157” (“FSP”). The FSP delayed, for one year, the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements on at least an annual basis. As such, we partially adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 effective January 1, 2008. The partial adoption of this statement did not have a material impact

## [Table of Contents](#)

on our financial statements. We adopted the deferred provisions of SFAS No. 157 effective January 1, 2009 which impacts the way in which we calculate fair value for assets and liabilities initially measured at fair value in a business combination, our annual impairment review of goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets, and when conditions exist that require us to calculate the fair value of long-lived assets. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a significant impact on our financial statements, except for the additional disclosures that are required.

### Critical Accounting Policies

Management exercises judgment in making important decisions pertaining to choosing and applying accounting policies and methodologies in many areas. Not only are these decisions necessary to comply with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, but they also reflect management's view of the most appropriate manner in which to record and report our overall financial performance. All accounting policies are important, and all policies described in Note 1 ("Summary of Operations and Significant Accounting Policies") in our Annual Report on Form 10-K should be reviewed for a greater understanding of how our financial performance is recorded and reported.

During the first six months of 2009, there were no significant changes in the manner in which our significant accounting policies were applied or in which related assumptions and estimates were developed.



Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK (Dollars in thousands)

We are exposed to various market risks in the normal course of business, primarily interest rate risk and foreign currency risk. Our primary interest rate risk is derived from our outstanding variable-rate debt obligations. In July 2004, we hedged this risk by entering into an interest rate swap arrangement in connection with the term loan component of our credit facility. Under the swap arrangement, effective August 2, 2004, we received a fixed rate of interest in exchange for a variable rate. The swap rate received was 3.98% for five years and will be adjusted accordingly for a Eurodollar spread incorporated in the agreement. As of June 28, 2009, a one basis point change in the Eurodollar spread would have a less than \$1 value change. (See Note 6 in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.)

In July 2009, we paid the final monthly installment for the term loan under the credit facility and have no further obligations relating to the term loan portion of the credit facility. Correspondingly, the interest rate swap arrangement we entered into in connection with the term loan under the credit facility has expired and we have no further obligations under the interest rate swap arrangement.

We are subject to foreign currency risk, due to fluctuations in currencies relative to the U.S. dollar. We monitor the relationship between the U.S. dollar and other currencies on a continuous basis and adjust sales prices for products and services sold in these foreign currencies as appropriate to safeguard against the fluctuations in the currency effects relative to the U.S. dollar.

We maintain manufacturing operations in North America, Europe and Asia, and export products internationally. We purchase materials and sell our products in foreign currencies, and therefore currency fluctuations may impact our pricing of products sold and materials purchased. In addition, our foreign subsidiaries maintain their books in local currency, which is translated into U.S. dollars for our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation Of Disclosure Controls And Procedures — Our president and chief executive officer (principal executive officer) and our vice president — finance and chief financial officer (principal financial officer) have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on this evaluation, our president and chief executive officer and vice president - finance and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date.

Changes In Internal Control Over Financial Reporting — There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f)) that occurred during the fiscal quarter covered by this quarterly report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the normal course of business. We believe that the final disposition of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial position or results of our operations.

In conjunction with our purchase/lease of our Newark, New York facility in 1998, we entered into a payment-in-lieu of tax agreement, which provided us with real estate tax concessions upon meeting certain conditions. In connection with this agreement, a consulting firm performed a Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessment, which revealed the existence of contaminated soil and ground water around one of the buildings. We retained an engineering firm, which estimated that the cost of remediation should be in the range of \$230. In February 1998, we entered into an agreement with a third party which provides that we and this third party will retain an environmental consulting firm to conduct a supplemental Phase II investigation to verify the existence of the contaminants and further delineate the nature of the environmental concern. The third party agreed to reimburse us for fifty percent (50%) of the cost of correcting the environmental concern on the Newark property. We have fully reserved for our portion of the estimated liability. Our environmental consulting firm prepared and submitted a Final Investigation Report in January 2009 to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (“NYSDEC”) for review. The NYSDEC reviewed and approved the Final Investigation Report in June 2009 and requested the development of a Remedial Action Plan. Our environmental consulting firm is developing the requested plan for review and approval by the NYSDEC. The final Remedial Action Plan selected may increase the estimated remediation costs modestly. Through June 28, 2009, total costs incurred have amounted to approximately \$241, none of which has been capitalized. At June 28, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had \$45 and \$52, respectively, reserved for this matter.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We have updated, amended and restated certain of the risk factors that were included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

Each of the risk factors set forth below, as well as those set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 could materially adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition, as well as the value of an investment in our common stock.

Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or those we currently deem immaterial, may also materially harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Updated Risk Factors

*We face risks related to the current credit crisis.*

Recent disruption in credit markets may impact demand for our products and services, as well as our ability to manage normal relationships with our customers, suppliers and creditors. Tighter credit markets could also result in supplier or customer disruptions.

The potential bankruptcy of certain customers could leave us exposed to certain risks of collection of outstanding receivables. For example, approximately 5% of our business is associated with the automotive industry, which has recently experienced significant financial difficulties. If any of our customers declare bankruptcy, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## Table of Contents

Our ability to refinance our current credit facility, if necessary, or to secure additional capital resources to fund our operational and growth strategies will depend, in large part, on our ability to access the credit markets. The recent disruption in credit markets and our recent operating losses make it uncertain whether we will be able to access the credit markets when necessary or desirable. If we are not able to access credit markets and obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms when needed, our business could be materially harmed and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

*We may be unable to obtain financing to fund ongoing operations and future growth.*

While we believe our ongoing cost controls will allow us to generate cash and achieve profitability in the future, there is no assurance as to when or if we will be able to achieve our projections. Our future cash flows from operations, combined with our accessibility to cash and credit, may not be sufficient to allow us to finance ongoing operations or to make required investments for future growth. We may need to seek additional credit or access capital markets for additional funds. There is no assurance, given the current state of credit markets and our recent operating performance, that we would be successful in this regard.

We have certain debt covenants that must be maintained in accordance with the provisions of our credit facility. At June 28, 2009 we were not in compliance with such debt covenants. Although we were able to receive a waiver from our Lenders from compliance with such debt covenants, there is no assurance that we will be able to meet these debt covenants in the future. If we default on any of our debt covenants and we are unable to renegotiate credit terms in order to comply with such covenants, our Lenders may accelerate the payment of our indebtedness under the credit facility. If this were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

While we believe relations with our Lenders are good and we have received waivers as necessary in the past, there can be no assurance that such waivers will always be obtained when needed. If we are unable to obtain a waiver from our Lenders, we may need to implement alternative plans to provide us with sufficient levels of liquidity and working capital. There can be no assurance that such alternatives would be available on acceptable terms and conditions or that we would be successful in our implementation of such plans.

*A significant portion of our revenues is derived from certain key customers and the delay or cancellation of orders by any of these key customers may adversely affect our business.*

A significant portion of our revenues is derived from contracts with the U.S. and foreign militaries or OEMs that supply the U.S. and foreign militaries. In the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, approximately 75%, 67%, and 47% respectively, of our revenues were comprised of sales made directly or indirectly to the U.S. and foreign militaries. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we had two major customers, Raytheon Company and Port Electronics Corp., which comprised 29% and 16% of our revenue, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we had three major customers, the U.S. Department of Defense, the U.K. Ministry of Defence and Raytheon Company, which comprised 14%, 12%, and 13% of our revenue, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we had one major customer, the U.S. Department of Defense, which comprised 20% of our revenue. There were no other customers that comprised greater than 10% of our total revenues during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. While sales to these customers were substantial during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we do not consider these customers to be significant credit risks. Government decisions regarding military deployment and budget allocations to fund military operations may have an impact on the demand for our products and services. If the demand for products and services from the U.S. or foreign militaries were to decrease significantly, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our overall operating results are affected by many factors, including the timing of orders from our key customers and the timing of expenditures to manufacture parts and purchase inventory in

## Table of Contents

anticipation of future orders of products and services. The reduction, delay or cancellation of orders from one or more of our key customers for any reason or the loss of one or more of our key customers could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We generally do not distribute our products to a concentrated geographical area nor is there a significant concentration of credit risks arising from individuals or groups of customers engaged in similar activities, or who have similar economic characteristics, except for our automotive industry customers. Approximately 5% of our business in 2008 was associated with the automotive industry, which has recently experienced significant financial difficulties. We have two customers that comprised 36% of our trade accounts receivables as of December 31, 2008. We have two customers that comprised 42% of our trade accounts receivable as of December 31, 2007. There were no other customers that comprised greater than 10% of our total trade accounts receivable as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. We do not normally obtain collateral on trade accounts receivable.

### New Risk Factor

*We may not generate a sufficient amount of cash or generate sufficient funds from operations to fund our operations or repay our indebtedness at maturity or otherwise.*

Our ability to make payments on our credit facility as required will depend on our ability to generate cash flow from operations in the future. This ability, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, regulatory and other factors beyond our control. There can be no assurance that our business will generate cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us in amounts sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

In October 2008, the Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$10,000 to be implemented over the course of a six-month period. Repurchases were made from time to time at management's discretion, either in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. The repurchases were made in compliance with Securities and Exchange Commission guidelines and were subject to market conditions, applicable legal requirements, and other factors. We had no obligation under the program to repurchase shares and the program could have been suspended or discontinued at any time without prior notice. We funded the purchase price for shares acquired primarily with current cash on hand and cash generated from operations, in addition to borrowing from our credit facility, as necessary. In April 2009, this share repurchase program expired. We made no share repurchases during the second quarter of 2009.

## Table of Contents

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

- (a) On June 9, 2009, we held our Annual Meeting of Shareholders.
- (b) At the Annual Meeting, our shareholders elected to the Board of Directors all eight nominees for Director with the following votes:

DIRECTOR	FOR	AGAINST
Carole Lewis Anderson	10,969,030	4,664,699
Patricia C. Barron	10,965,989	4,667,740
Anthony J. Cavanna	10,965,804	4,667,925
Paula H. J. Cholmondeley	10,697,304	4,936,425
Daniel W. Christman	10,968,524	4,665,205
John D. Kavazanjian	15,571,374	62,355
Ranjit C. Singh	10,917,887	4,715,842
Bradford T. Whitmore	15,420,741	212,988

- (c) At the Annual Meeting, our shareholders voted for the ratification of the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2009 with the following votes:

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTENTIONS
15,557,664	51,156	24,908

### Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Index	Description of Document	Incorporated By Reference from:
10.1	Amended and Restated Subordinated Promissory Note with William Maher effective March 28, 2009	Exhibit 10.3 of the Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 29, 2009, filed May 7, 2009
10.2	Employment Agreement between the Registrant and John D. Kavazanjian	Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 8-K filed on July 9, 2009
10.3	Employment Agreement between the Registrant and William A. Schmitz	Exhibit 99.2 of the Form 8-K filed on July 9, 2009
10.4	Waiver and Amendment Number One to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement as of June 28, 2009, with the Lenders Party Thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent	Filed herewith
31.1	CEO 302 Certifications	Filed herewith
31.2	CFO 302 Certifications	Filed herewith
32.1	906 Certifications	Filed herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION  
(Registrant)

Date: August 10, 2009

By: /s/ John D. Kavazanjian  
John D. Kavazanjian  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 10, 2009

By: /s/ John C. Casper  
John C. Casper  
Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Principal Accounting Officer)

Index to Exhibits

- 10.4 Waiver and Amendment Number One to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement as of June 28, 2009, with the Lenders Party Thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

WAIVER  
AND  
AMENDMENT NUMBER ONE  
TO  
AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AGREEMENT

dated as of June 28, 2009

between

ULTRALIFE CORPORATION

and

THE LENDERS PARTY THERETO

and

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,  
as Administrative Agent

---

---



**WAIVER  
AND  
AMENDMENT NUMBER ONE  
TO  
AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AGREEMENT**

This Waiver and Amendment Number One to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Amendment"), dated as of June 28, 2009, is made by and between ULTRALIFE CORPORATION (the "Borrower") and the Lenders party to the Credit Agreement and JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., as Administrative Agent for the Lenders (in such capacity, the "Agent").

**Background Statement**

The Borrower, the Lenders and the Agent have previously entered into, among other agreements, an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2009 (the "Credit Agreement"). The total aggregate amount of the Lenders' Commitments thereunder is \$35,000,000, and as of June 28, 2009, the Borrower owed the Lenders under the Credit Agreement an outstanding aggregate principal amount of \$24,219,060 under the Revolving Loans and Letters of Credit and \$166,666.07 under the Term Loan and owes Agent and Lenders interest, costs, fees and expenses as set forth therein. The Borrower has notified the Agent that the Borrower has failed to meet the financial covenants described in the Credit Agreement. The Borrower has requested that the Lenders and Agent waive compliance with certain covenants contained in the Credit Agreement and the Borrower, the Lenders and the Agent desire to amend the Credit Agreement as referenced herein. Each Subsidiary that has provided a Guarantee derives a financial benefit from its relationship with the Borrower and will continue to enjoy such benefit as a result of the waiver and amendments.

**Statement of Consideration**

Accordingly, in consideration of the premises and under the authority of Section 5-1103 of the New York General Obligations Law, the parties agree as follows:

**Agreement**

1. **Defined Terms**. The terms "this Amendment", "hereunder" and similar references in the Credit Agreement shall be deemed to refer to the Credit Agreement as amended by this Amendment. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Credit Agreement.

2. **Amendments**. Effective upon the satisfaction of all conditions specified in Section 4 hereof, the Credit Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

A. The following definitions are hereby added to Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement in the appropriate alphabetical order:

"Amendment No. 1" means that certain Waiver and Amendment Number One to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of June 28, 2009 among Borrower, Administrative Agent and Lenders.

"Banking Services Obligations" means each and all obligations whether absolute or contingent and however and whenever created, arising, evidenced or acquired (including all renewals, extensions and modifications thereof and substitutions therefore) in connection with Banking Services.

---

“Banking Services” means each and any of the following bank services to Borrower or any Subsidiary by a Lender or any of its Affiliates: (a) credit cards for commercial customers (including, without limitation, “commercial credit cards” and purchasing cards), (b) stored value cards and (c) treasury management services (including, without limitation, controlled disbursement, automated clearinghouse transactions, return items, overdrafts and interstate depository network services).

“Report(s)” means report(s) prepared by the Agent or another Person showing the results of appraisals, field examinations or audits pertaining to the Borrower’s assets from information furnished by or on behalf of the Borrower.

B. The definition of “Applicable Revolving Rate” as set forth in Section 1.01 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended so that the following provision is added to the end thereof:

Provided further, however, commencing on the date of Amendment No. 1 and continuing thereafter, the Applicable Revolving Rate with respect to the Facility Fee Rate shall be 100 basis points, the Eurodollar Spread shall be 500 basis points, the ABR<sup>1</sup> Spread shall be 200 basis points and the ABR<sup>2</sup> Spread shall be 400 basis points.

C. Section 5.01 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended so that: (i) in subsection (f) thereof the word “and” at the end of such subsection is hereby deleted, (ii) in subsection (g) thereof the period “.” at the end of such subsection is hereby deleted and replaced with “; and”, and (iii) the following subsection is added thereto in the appropriate alphabetical order:

(h) On a weekly basis, the Borrower’s rolling thirteen (13) week cash forecast, in form historically prepared by Borrower.

D. The following are hereby added as new Sections 5.10 and 5.11 of the Credit Agreement:

5.10 Reports. The Borrower (a) acknowledges and agrees that the Lenders require a more detailed review and analysis of the Borrower’s projections and assets and that the Agent and Lenders shall conduct or shall engage third party examiners or other professionals to conduct (i) an asset valuation/appraisal of the Borrower’s and the Subsidiaries’ inventory, machinery and equipment, and (ii) a field audit examination of the Borrower’s and Subsidiaries’ books, records and collateral and prepare a Report with respect thereto, (b) shall cooperate with the Agent, Lenders and/or such examiners/professionals in the preparation of such Reports and shall provide to such parties, in a reasonably prompt manner, all such documents, reports, agreements, financial and other information and other items as may be reasonably requested with respect to the Borrower and its business, and (c) shall pay and/or reimburse the Agent and Lenders upon demand, all costs and expenses incurred by the Agent, Lenders and/or their respective Affiliates in connection with such appraisals, field examinations and audits (including, without limitation, examiners fees, travel expenses and duplication costs) and the preparation of the Reports based on the fees charged by a third party retained by the Agent or any Lender or the internally allocated fees for each Person employed

---

by the Agent or any Lender with respect to such asset valuation/appraisal and/or field audit examination.

5.11 Guaranty of Subsidiaries' Banking Services Obligations. Borrower hereby unconditionally and irrevocably guarantees, without any setoff or other deduction, the payment when due whether by acceleration or lapse of time or otherwise of all Banking Services Obligations of any Subsidiary now or hereafter existing whether such obligations are arising or accruing prior or subsequent to any commencement of any case or other proceeding pursuant to any bankruptcy, insolvency or similar statute and whether or not allowed as a claim in any such case or other proceeding. Such guarantee is continuing, absolute and unconditional and a guaranty of payment rather than collection. Borrower shall pay to each Lender on demand each cost and expense (including, but not limited to, if such Lender retains counsel for advice, litigation or any other purpose, reasonable attorneys fees and disbursements) hereafter incurred by such Lender in endeavoring to enforce any Banking Services Obligations of any Subsidiary or preserve or exercise any right or remedy of any Lender pursuant to this Agreement or arising as a result of this Agreement. This Section 5.11 shall remain in full force and effect and shall terminate only upon (a) the termination of all agreement(s) of each Lender to extend Banking Services to any Subsidiary and (b) the final indefeasible payment in full of the obligations of Borrower under this Agreement and any other agreement executed in connection herewith, including each cost and expense that Borrower is obligated to pay pursuant to this section of this Agreement. Borrower agrees not to exercise any right of subrogation, indemnification, reimbursement or contribution and each similar right against such Subsidiary heretofore or hereafter arising in connection with this Agreement or any other agreements in connection therewith (including but not limited to pursuant to any agreement providing any collateral) until the guaranteed obligation has been satisfied.

E. Section 6.01 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended so that it is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

“Section 6.01. Indebtedness. The Borrower will not, and will not permit, any Subsidiary to, create, incur, assume or permit to exist any Indebtedness, except:

- (a) Indebtedness created hereunder;
  - (b) Indebtedness or Guarantees existing on the date of Amendment No. 1 as set forth in Schedule 6.01 and extensions, renewals and replacements of any such Indebtedness that do not increase the outstanding principal amount thereof;
  - (c) [Intentionally omitted]
  - (d) [Intentionally omitted]
  - (e) Indebtedness of the Borrower or any Subsidiary incurred to finance the acquisition, construction or improvement of any fixed or
-

capital assets, including Capital Lease Obligations and any Indebtedness assumed in connection with the acquisition of any such assets or secured by a Lien on any such assets prior to the acquisition thereof, and extensions, renewals and replacements of any such Indebtedness that do not increase the outstanding principal amount thereof; provided that (i) such Indebtedness is incurred prior to or within 90 days after such acquisition or the completion of such construction or improvement and (ii) the aggregate principal amount of Indebtedness permitted by this clause (e) shall not exceed \$3,000,000 in the aggregate at any time outstanding owed to any Lender (excluding those amounts permitted under subsection (g) hereof) or \$1,000,000 in the aggregate at any time outstanding owed to any other Person;

(f) Indebtedness of the Borrower or any Subsidiary as an account party in respect of trade letters of credit.

(g) [Intentionally omitted]

(h) Intercompany loans permitted under Section 6.04(c) hereof.

F. Section 6.04 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended so that it is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

Section 6.04. Investments, Loans, Advances, Guarantees and Acquisitions. The Borrower will not, and will not permit any of its Subsidiaries to, purchase, hold or acquire (including pursuant to any merger with any Person that was not a wholly owned Subsidiary prior to such merger) any capital stock, evidences of indebtedness or other securities (including any option, warrant or other right to acquire any of the foregoing) of, make or permit to exist any loans or advances to, Guarantee any obligations of, or make or permit to exist any investment or any other interest in, any other Person, or purchase or otherwise acquire (in one transaction or a series of transactions) any assets of any other Person constituting a business unit, except:

(a) Permitted Investments;

(b) Investments by the Borrower (i) existing on the date of Amendment No. 1 in its domestic Subsidiaries which have provided Security Agreements and Guarantees to the Administrative Agent and (ii) existing on the date of Amendment No. 1 in its other Subsidiaries as set forth on Schedule 6.04 hereof;

(c) Loans or other advances and/or investments made after the date hereof to or in Able Holding and/or Able Operating not to exceed \$500,000 at any one time outstanding;

(d) Guarantees constituting Indebtedness permitted by Section 6.01;

(e) loans or advances made by the Borrower to its employees for emergency purposes, in accordance with the Borrower's standard procedures, not to exceed in the aggregate \$250,000 at any one time outstanding; and

---

(f) [Intentionally omitted]

G. Section 6.06 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended so that it is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

Section 6.06. Restricted Payments. The Borrower will not, and will not permit any of its Subsidiaries to, declare or make, or agree to pay or make, directly or indirectly, any Restricted Payment, except (a) the Borrower may declare and pay dividends with respect to its Equity Interests payable solely in additional shares of its common stock, (b) Subsidiaries wholly owned by the Borrower may declare and pay dividends ratably with respect to their Equity Interests, and (c) the Borrower may make Restricted Payments pursuant to and in accordance with stock option plans or other benefit plans for management or employees of the Borrower and its Subsidiaries.

H. Schedule 6.01 of the Credit Agreement is hereby amended so that it is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the Schedule 6.01 attached to this Amendment.

3. Waiver of Existing Covenant Violations. The Borrower has advised the Agent that it is not in compliance with the financial covenants set forth in subsections (a) and (b) of Section 6.09 of the Credit Agreement for the fiscal quarter ending June 28, 2009 (the "Existing Event of Default"). Subject to satisfaction of the conditions in Section 5 hereof, Lenders and Agent shall waive the right to exercise their respective rights and remedies under the Credit Agreement and Loan Documents arising from the Existing Event of Default. This Waiver is limited to the Existing Event of Default and does not apply to any other covenant or time period as set forth in the Credit Agreement.

4. Representations. The Borrower hereby represents and warrants to the Lenders and the Agent that: (i) the covenants, representations and warranties set forth in the Credit Agreement are true and correct on and as of the date of execution hereof as if made on and as of said date and as if each reference therein to the Credit Agreement were a reference to the Credit Agreement as amended by this Amendment; (ii) except the Existing Event of Default, no Default or Event of Default specified in the Credit Agreement has occurred and is continuing, (iii) since the date of the Credit Agreement, there has been no material adverse change in the financial condition or business operations of the Borrower and its Subsidiaries which has not been disclosed to Lenders; (iv) the making and performance by the Borrower and its Subsidiaries of this Amendment have been duly authorized by all necessary corporate action, and do not, and will not, (a) contravene the Borrower's certificate of incorporation or by-laws, (b) violate any law, including without limitation the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or any rule, regulation (including Regulations T, U or X of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System) order, writ, judgment, injunction, decree, determination or award, and (c) conflict with or result in the breach of, or constitute a default under, any material contract, loan agreement, indenture, note, mortgage, deed of trust or any other material instrument or agreement binding on the Borrower or any Subsidiary or any of their properties or result in or require the creation or imposition of any lien upon or with respect to any of their properties; (v) this Amendment has been duly executed and delivered by the Borrower and is the legal, valid and binding obligation of the Borrower enforceable against the Borrower in accordance with its terms; (vi) no authorization or approval or other action by, and no notice to or filing with, any governmental authority or regulatory body or any other third party is required for (a) the due execution, delivery or performance by the Borrower of this Amendment or any other agreement or document related hereto or contemplated hereby to which the Borrower is or is to be a party or otherwise bound, or (b) the exercise by the Agent or any Lender of its rights under the Credit Agreement as modified by this Amendment; and (vii) the security interests and charges granted by the Borrower and its Subsidiaries pursuant to the Security Agreements continue to constitute valid, binding and enforceable, first in priority Liens on the Collateral, subject only to Liens permitted under the terms of the Security Agreements and Credit Agreement.

---

5. **Conditions of Effectiveness.** The effectiveness of the amendments and waiver set forth above are subject to the satisfaction, in form and substance satisfactory to the Agent, of each of the following conditions precedent:

A. The Borrower agrees to the terms hereof, and executes this Amendment and four (4) completely executed originals thereof are delivered to Agent by 3:00 p.m. Eastern Time on August 7, 2009 (the "Delivery Date"), time being of the essence, and each Lender executes this Amendment and delivers to the Agent the same on or about the Delivery Date.

B. Each Guarantor acknowledges and consents to the terms hereof and executes the form consent and acknowledgment attached to this Amendment and four (4) completely executed originals thereof are delivered to Agent by the Delivery Date, time being of the essence.

C. The representation and warranties contained in Section 3 hereof and in the Credit Agreement shall be true, correct and complete as of the effective date of this Amendment as though made on such date.

D. The Agent shall have received \$35,000 from Borrower for the benefit of the Lenders on a pro rata basis in consideration of the waiver and amendments set forth herein.

6. **Covenants.**

A. Borrower hereby covenants and agrees to cooperate with the Agent in any manner reasonably necessary in order to promptly continue, or in the case of after-acquired property, create a first lien in favor of the Agent, on behalf of the Lenders, in all personal property assets acquired by Borrower or its Subsidiaries.

B. Borrower agrees to execute and deliver or cause to be delivered all documents necessary and do or cause to be done all things necessary to effect the transactions contemplated herein. If, for example, it is determined that there is an error in any document executed in connection herewith, Borrower agrees to execute and deliver or cause to be executed and delivered to Lender a corrected document.

C. Borrower agrees to pay on demand all costs and expenses of Agent and the Lenders in connection with the preparation, execution and delivery of this Amendment and the other documents related hereto, including the fees and out-of-pocket expenses of counsel for Agent and Lenders.

7. **Reference to and Effect on Loan Documents.**

A. Upon the effectiveness hereof, each reference in the Credit Agreement to "this Amendment," "hereunder," "hereof," "herein," or words of like import, and each reference in the other Loan Documents to the Credit Agreement shall mean and be a reference to the Credit Agreement as amended hereby.

B. Except as specifically amended above, the Credit Agreement, and all other Loan Documents shall remain in full force and effect and are hereby ratified and confirmed.

C. The waiver set forth in Section 3 hereto is only applicable and shall only be effective in the specific instance and for the specific purpose for which made, is expressly limited to the facts and circumstances referred to herein, and shall not operate as (i) a waiver of, or consent to non-compliance with any other provision of the Credit Agreement or any other Loan Document, (ii) a waiver or modification of any other right, power or remedy of either the Agent or any Lender under the Credit Agreement or any Loan Document, or (iii) a waiver or modification of, or consent to, any other Event of Default or Default under the Credit Agreement or any Loan Document.

---

8. **Waiver and Release.** As a material inducement for, and in consideration of, the Lenders' and Agent's agreements herein, the Borrower, by signing this Amendment, hereby forever waives, releases, remises and discharges any and all rights to assert any and all defenses to and setoffs, counterclaims, and claims of every kind against any Lenders or Agent, its respective agents, servants, employees, officers, directors and attorneys now existing or arising hereafter on the basis of actions or events occurring on or prior to the date hereof. Each of the parties signing this Amendment confirms that the foregoing waiver and release is informed and freely given.

9. **Representation by Counsel.** Borrower hereby represents and warrants to the Lenders and Agent that throughout the negotiations, preparation and execution of this Amendment and the closing hereunder, Borrower has been represented by legal counsel of its own choosing and that this Amendment was entered into by the free will of Borrower and pursuant to arm's-length negotiations.

10. **Governing Law.** This Amendment shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York without regard to any conflicts-of-laws rules which would require the application of the laws of any other jurisdiction.

11. **Headings.** Section headings in this Amendment are included herein for convenience of reference only and shall not constitute a part of this Amendment for any other purpose.

12. **Execution in Counterparts.** This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts and by different parties hereto in separate counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be deemed to be an original and all or which taken together shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

13. **Entire Agreement.** This Amendment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof and shall supersede and take the place of any other instrument purporting to be an agreement of the parties hereto relating to the transactions contemplated hereby. In the event of an express conflict between this Amendment and any Loan Document, this Amendment shall control. The fact that Borrower, any guarantor and Lender have had discussions previously or will have discussions on subsequent occasions regarding the status of the Loans or Commitment or the Existing Event of Default does not in any manner, either expressly or implied, constitute a waiver, rescission, release or modification of, or an agreement to waive, rescind, release, forbear or modify any right or rights which Agent or any Lender may have by law or regulation or pursuant to any document previously executed in connection with the Loans and Commitment, except for Agent's and Lenders' agreements herein to waive exercising certain rights and remedies under the Loan Documents resulting from the Existing Event of Default subject to the terms hereof, and such discussions are held without prejudice to any pending or future judicial or non-judicial proceedings. Any agreement, waiver, rescission, release, amendment, offer to compromise, settle or forbear, modification of rights, extension of time or change of position regarding the Loans and Commitment or Event of Default arising from any such discussion will be effective and binding on a party if, and only if, incorporated in writing subscribed by the party to be bound thereby. This Amendment may not be changed orally but only by an agreement in writing signed by a duly authorized officer of Agent, Lenders and the Borrower. The Background Statement in this Amendment is deemed to be incorporated into this Amendment as if fully set forth herein. The Borrower acknowledges and agrees to the accuracy of the Background Statement in this Amendment.

14. **Third Parties.** The provisions of this Amendment whether express or implied shall not give any third party (other than successors and assigns of the parties permitted under the Loan Documents) any benefit of any equitable or legal right, remedy or claim under applicable law.

15. **Invalidity.** If any provision or part of any provision contained in this Amendment shall be found for any reason to be illegal, invalid or unenforceable in any respect, such invalidity, illegality, or unenforceability shall not affect any other provisions or the remaining part of any effective provisions of this Amendment and this Amendment shall be construed as if such invalid, illegal, or unenforceable provisions or part thereof had never been contained herein, but only to the extent of its invalidity, illegality,

---

or unenforceability. In addition, a comparable, enforceable provision or part thereof, as close as possible to such invalid, illegal or unenforceable provision or part thereof, if any exists, shall be deemed incorporated in this Amendment, as if part of this Amendment from its inception.

[Signature Page Follows]

---



**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the parties hereto have caused this Amendment to be executed by their respective representatives thereunto duly authorized as of the date first above written.

**ULTRALIFE CORPORATION**

By: /s/ John C. Casper  
Name: John C. Casper  
Title: Chief Financial Officer

**ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT:**

**JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., as Agent**

By: /s/ Thomas C. Strassenburgh  
Name: Thomas C. Strassenburgh  
Title: Vice President

**LENDERS:**

**JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.**

By: /s/ Thomas C. Strassenburgh  
Name: Thomas C. Strassenburgh  
Title: Vice President

**MANUFACTURERS AND TRADERS TRUST COMPANY**

By: /s/ Jon Fogle  
Name: Jon Fogle  
Title: Vice President

I, John D. Kavazanjian, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Ultralife Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 10, 2009

/s/ John D. Kavazanjian

John D. Kavazanjian

President and Chief Executive Officer

I, John C. Casper, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Ultralife Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 10, 2009

/s/ John C. Casper  
John C. Casper  
Vice President of Finance and  
Chief Financial Officer

**Section 1350 Certification**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("Section 906"), John D. Kavazanjian and John C. Casper, the President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer, respectively, of Ultralife Corporation, certify that (i) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 28, 2009 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and (ii) the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Ultralife Corporation.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Ultralife Corporation and will be retained by Ultralife Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Date: August 10, 2009

/s/ John D. Kavazanjian

John D. Kavazanjian  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 10, 2009

/s/ John C. Casper

John C. Casper  
Vice President of Finance and  
Chief Financial Officer